



**Australian Government**

**Australian Radiation Protection  
and Nuclear Safety Agency**

CODE OF PRACTICE & SAFETY GUIDE

# Radiation Protection in Dentistry



RADIATION PROTECTION SERIES No. 10

## **Radiation Protection Series**

The ***Radiation Protection Series*** is published by the Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency (ARPANSA) to promote practices which protect human health and the environment from the possible harmful effects of radiation. ARPANSA is assisted in this task by its Radiation Health and Safety Advisory Council, which reviews the publication program for the ***Series*** and endorses documents for publication, and by its Radiation Health Committee, which oversees the preparation of draft documents and recommends publication.

There are four categories of publication in the ***Series***:

**Radiation Protection Standards** set fundamental requirements for safety. They are prescriptive in style and may be referenced by regulatory instruments in State, Territory or Commonwealth jurisdictions. They may contain key procedural requirements regarded as essential for best international practice in radiation protection, and fundamental quantitative requirements, such as exposure limits.

**Codes of Practice** are also prescriptive in style and may be referenced by regulations or conditions of licence. They contain practice-specific requirements that must be satisfied to ensure an acceptable level of safety in dealings involving exposure to radiation. Requirements are expressed in 'must' statements.

**Recommendations** provide guidance on fundamental principles for radiation protection. They are written in an explanatory and non-regulatory style and describe the basic concepts and objectives of best international practice. Where there are related **Radiation Protection Standards** and **Codes of Practice**, they are based on the fundamental principles in the **Recommendations**.

**Safety Guides** provide practice-specific guidance on achieving the requirements set out in **Radiation Protection Standards** and **Codes of Practice**. They are non-prescriptive in style, but may recommend good practices. Guidance is expressed in 'should' statements, indicating that the measures recommended, or equivalent alternatives, are normally necessary in order to comply with the requirements of the **Radiation Protection Standards** and **Codes of Practice**.

In many cases, for practical convenience, prescriptive and guidance documents which are related to each other may be published together. A **Code of Practice** and a corresponding **Safety Guide** may be published within a single set of covers.

All publications in the ***Radiation Protection Series*** are informed by public comment during drafting, and **Radiation Protection Standards** and **Codes of Practice**, which may serve a regulatory function, are subject to a process of regulatory review. Further information on these consultation processes may be obtained by contacting ARPANSA.



**Australian Government**

**Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency**

**CODE OF PRACTICE & SAFETY GUIDE**

# Radiation Protection in Dentistry

Radiation Protection Series Publication No. 10

December 2005

This publication was approved by the Radiation Health Committee on 10 November 2005 and on 19 December 2005 the Radiation Health & Safety Advisory Council, advised the CEO to adopt the Code of Practice and Safety Guide.

## NOTICE

© Commonwealth of Australia 2005

This work is copyright. You may download, display, print and reproduce this material in unaltered form only (retaining this notice) for your personal, non-commercial use or use within your organisation. Apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, all other rights are reserved. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to:

Commonwealth Copyright Administration  
Attorney-General's Department  
Robert Garran Offices  
National Circuit  
Canberra ACT 2600

URL: [www.ag.gov.au/cca](http://www.ag.gov.au/cca)

Email: [commonwealth.copyright@ag.gov.au](mailto:commonwealth.copyright@ag.gov.au)

Requests for information about the content of this publication should be addressed to the Secretariat, ARPANSA, 619 Lower Plenty Road, Yallambie, Victoria, 3085 or by e-mail to [secretariat@arpansa.gov.au](mailto:secretariat@arpansa.gov.au).

ISBN 0-9758169-2-6

ISSN 1445-9760

The mission of ARPANSA is to provide the scientific expertise and infrastructure necessary to support the objective of the ARPANS Act — to protect the health and safety of people, and to protect the environment, from the harmful effects of radiation.

Published by the Chief Executive Officer of ARPANSA in December 2005

## Foreword

This *Code of Practice and Safety Guide for Radiation Protection in Dentistry* establishes regulatory requirements for adoption by Australian jurisdictions together with supporting guidance. The Code and Safety Guide were prepared by a working group of the Radiation Health Committee. The Radiation Health Committee, established under the ARPANS Act (1998), is charged with developing policies and preparing draft publications, including codes and standards, related to radiation protection. Radiation Health Committee members include radiation control officers from each State and Territory, independent experts and a person who represents the interests of the general public. The working group that developed the Code and Safety Guide included representatives of the Australian Dental Association.

The Code and Safety Guide replace the National Health and Medical Research Council's *Code of Practice for Radiation Protection in Dentistry* (1987), Radiation Health Series No. 20.

While the doses delivered by dental X-ray equipment to individual patients are small, the number of dental radiographs taken is large, and so radiation protection is a consideration for occupational exposure, and for the population through exposure as dental patients.

The Code establishes the responsibilities of those involved in dental radiology, and lays down requirements for equipment and siting, image receptors and film processing, and procedures to minimise exposure to ionizing radiation. The Safety Guide provides advisory and explanatory material that will assist dentists, dental auxiliaries and staff in meeting the requirements of the Code.

The Code will be proposed for adoption nationally into regulatory frameworks by its inclusion in Schedule 11 of the National Directory for Radiation Protection. The Directory provides an agreed uniform framework for radiation protection to be adopted by the Commonwealth, States and Territories.

The Code was released for public comment from 29 July 2005 to 16 September 2005 with a draft Regulatory Impact Statement, to meet the requirements of the *Principles and Guidelines for National Standard-setting and Regulatory Action by Ministerial Councils and Standard Setting Bodies*, published by the Council of Australian Governments in June 2004. The comments were reviewed by the working group and the final Code was approved by the Radiation Health Committee on 10 November 2005. The final Regulatory Impact Statement was cleared by the Commonwealth Office of Regulation Review on 9 November 2005. The Radiation Health and Safety Advisory Council advised the CEO to adopt the Code and Safety Guide on 19 December 2005.



**John Loy**  
**CEO of ARPANSA**

20 December 2005

**(This page intentionally left blank)**

# Contents

**Foreword ..... i**

**Code of Practice ..... 1**

**Safety Guide..... 37**

**Contributors to Drafting and Review.....61**

**Index ..... 62**

**(This page intentionally left blank)**



**Australian Government**

**Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency**

**CODE OF PRACTICE**

# Radiation Protection in Dentistry

Radiation Protection Series Publication No. 10

**(This page intentionally left blank)**

# Code of Practice Contents

<b>1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1 CITATION .....	5
1.2 BACKGROUND.....	5
1.3 PURPOSE.....	5
1.4 SCOPE .....	5
1.5 STRUCTURE .....	6
<b>2. Responsibilities .....</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE RESPONSIBLE PERSON.....	7
2.2 RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CLINICIAN OPERATING THE DENTAL X-RAY EQUIPMENT .....	9
2.3 RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE REFERRER FOR RADIOGRAPHIC EXAMINATION .....	10
2.4 RESPONSIBILITIES OF PERSONS SUPPLYING, INSTALLING AND SERVICING DENTAL X-RAY EQUIPMENT.....	10
2.5 COMPLIANCE TESTING OF X-RAY EQUIPMENT .....	11
<b>3. Type and frequency of radiographic examination in     dental practice .....</b>	<b>12</b>
3.1 CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF THE NEED FOR RADIOGRAPHY.....	12
3.2 RESEARCH PROJECTS INVOLVING THE IRRADIATION OF HUMANS .....	12
<b>4. Equipment and site requirements .....</b>	<b>13</b>
4.1 COMPLIANCE WITH AUSTRALIAN STANDARDS AND REGULATORY AUTHORITY REQUIREMENTS .....	13
4.2 LOCATION OF X-RAY UNITS AND PROVISION OF STRUCTURAL SHIELDING .....	13
<b>5. Image receptors and film processing.....</b>	<b>14</b>
5.1 DIGITAL IMAGING SYSTEMS.....	14
5.2 FILM SIZES AND APPLICATIONS .....	14
5.3 USE OF HIGH SPEED FILM.....	14
5.4 STORAGE OF UNEXPOSED FILMS.....	14
5.5 PROCESSING OF FILMS .....	14
5.6 QUALITY ASSURANCE OF PROCESSING .....	15
<b>6. Procedures to minimise exposure to ionizing radiation .....</b>	<b>16</b>
6.1 USE OF EXPOSURE CHARTS .....	16
6.2 PERSONS IN THE ROOM DURING RADIOGRAPHY .....	16
6.3 EXPOSURE TO THE PRIMARY X-RAY BEAM .....	16
6.4 HOLDING OF IMAGE RECEPTOR AND STANDARDISATION OF TECHNIQUE BY USING IMAGE RECEPTOR HOLDERS .....	16
6.5 HOLDING OF X-RAY UNIT.....	16
6.6 HOLDING OF PATIENTS .....	17
6.7 POSITION OF OPERATOR DURING EXPOSURE.....	17
6.8 PERSONAL MONITORING.....	17

<b>Schedule 1</b>	<b>ARPANSA’s Recommendations for limiting exposure to ionizing radiation (2002) – Dose Limits.....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Schedule 2</b>	<b>Minimum Requirements for Existing Dental X-ray Equipment .....</b>	<b>19</b>
	<b>References and Further Reading .....</b>	<b>24</b>
	<b>Glossary.....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Annex 1</b>	<b>Regulatory Authorities .....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Annex 2</b>	<b>Use and Processing of Dental Films .....</b>	<b>30</b>

# 1. Introduction

## 1.1 CITATION

This Code may be cited as the *Code of Practice for Radiation Protection in Dentistry (2005)*.

## 1.2 BACKGROUND

This Code of Practice replaces an earlier code entitled *Code of Practice for Radiation Protection in Dentistry (1987)* published by the National Health and Medical Research Council, following its one hundred and third session in June 1987.

Radiology plays an essential part in dental health practice and clinicians who advise patients to undergo X-ray examinations must be aware of the nature of any possible harmful effects and of the risks involved (see Safety Guide, Annex A for further information). If the principles and practices set out in this Code are adopted a satisfactory radiograph should be obtained with a minimum exposure to radiation of the patient, the clinician and other persons involved with the examination.

## 1.3 PURPOSE

This Code has been prepared to establish practices and procedures in the use of ionizing radiation in dentistry that will ensure that the risk of radiation exposure to the patient, clinician and other persons is minimised.

This Code lays down detailed requirements for the following protective measures:

- allocation of responsibility;
- need for clinical assessment of the indications for radiography;
- provision of appropriate equipment, film and processing facilities;
- adoption of procedures to minimise exposure to radiation.

The Code is intended to be used as a supplement to the relevant radiation control regulations applicable in the States and Territories and the Commonwealth (see Annex 1 for details of Radiation Protection Authorities). Where there is a conflict between this Code and the legislation of the States, Territories or the Commonwealth, the provisions of the legislation prevail.

## 1.4 SCOPE

This Code applies to the Responsible Person<sup>1</sup> and to any person performing or assisting with a dental radiographic examination (e.g. dentists, radiographers, dental therapists, dental hygienists and dental assistants with extended duties) in dental practice, and to persons supplying, installing and servicing dental X-ray equipment.

---

<sup>1</sup> See glossary

This Code deals with radiographic procedures used in general and specialist dental practice, including:

- intra-oral radiography: periapical, bitewing and occlusal views;
- panoramic radiography;
- cephalometry;
- radiography using specialised dental CT equipment;
- other forms of radiography of the complete skull or certain parts of the dento-maxillofacial region;
- hand and wrist radiography for the purpose of the determination of the bone age.

Certain of the above procedures may be restricted or have special licensing requirements in some jurisdictions. Licensees may be restricted to possessing specified types of dental X-ray equipment and/or performing specified types of radiographic examinations. Licences for different categories of staff may specify different responsibilities for each category.

### **1.5 STRUCTURE**

The Code of Practice sets out requirements to be met to achieve a satisfactory level of radiation protection. It sets out material that may be adopted by State, Territory and Commonwealth regulatory authorities as part of their regulatory controls, and in conditions of licence or registration associated with the use of ionizing radiation within their jurisdiction.

Schedules set out additional information that form part of the Code of Practice, and are therefore part of the material adopted and enforced by regulatory authorities.

Material in the Annexes is advisory material for clarification and guidance only. It does not form part of the Code that may be adopted by regulatory authorities.

## 2. Responsibilities

### 2.1 RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE RESPONSIBLE PERSON

2.1.1 The responsibility for radiation protection lies with the person (the Responsible Person for the purposes of this Code) in control of the institution, department or practice using the dental X-ray equipment.

2.1.2 The Responsible Person must be familiar with all requirements of the regulatory authority including registration, licensing, controlled or supervised radiation areas, monitoring, recording of personal doses, reporting, surveying, maintenance and quality control checks.

2.1.3 The Responsible Person must ensure that radiation doses:

- (a) are kept as low as reasonably achievable; and
- (b) do not exceed the appropriate dose limits specified in Schedule 1.

2.1.4 The Responsible Person must appoint a Radiation Safety Officer (who may also be the Responsible Person) who has sufficient professional and/or technical training to:

- (a) supervise radiation protection in order to minimise personal radiation doses;
- (b) advise staff on safe working practices in accordance with all legislation and codes of practice;
- (c) consult and liaise with the regulatory authority;
- (d) ensure that all relevant regulatory matters are duly processed;
- (e) arrange for monitoring of areas, equipment and operations as required;
- (f) ensure that suitable personal monitoring devices are provided where required and properly used;
- (g) arrange for dose records, where determined for individuals, to be kept;
- (h) record and report to the Responsible Person and the appropriate authorities any unsafe practices or accidents;
- (i) investigate any radiation incidents;
- (j) maintain current records of all irradiating apparatus and locations;
- (k) arrange for any records required by sub-clause (j) to be kept for a period specified in this Code;
- (l) provide advice, instruction and local rules on radiation safety in an easily understandable form and at an adequate level for persons involved in dental radiography;
- (m) perform any other tasks that may be necessary to maintain a high standard of radiation safety in the establishment; and

- (n) determine which staff are to be designated 'persons occupationally exposed to radiation'.

2.1.5 The Responsible Person must ensure that the Radiation Safety Officer has the authoritative standing to implement this Code.

2.1.6 The Responsible Person must provide personal monitoring for all staff involved in radiography, unless a radiation safety assessment demonstrates that doses are not significant and, where required, an exemption is granted by the regulatory authority.

2.1.7 The Responsible Person must keep radiation dose records for employees' doses assessed during the period of employment.

2.1.8 The Responsible Person must ensure that radiation dose records are available for inspection by:

- (a) the individual to whom the record applies; and
- (b) the regulatory authority.

2.1.9 In order to avoid unnecessary radiation doses, the Responsible Person must ensure that radiographic images and adequate records are kept for a period of at least 7 years unless the radiographic images are sent to another practitioner. In the case of minors the records must be kept for a minimum of 7 years after they reach adulthood (18 years of age).

2.1.10 The Responsible Person must determine the work procedures that are necessary to enable the implementation of this Code.

2.1.11 The Responsible Person must provide all the facilities and/or equipment that are necessary to enable the implementation of this Code.

2.1.12 The Responsible Person must ensure that:

- (a) the use of dental X-ray equipment constitutes minimal risks to patients, operators and other staff in the practice as well as to persons who are in or near the premises where the dental X-ray equipment is installed.
- (b) the installation and operation of dental X-ray equipment complies with the regulations of the relevant State, Territory or Commonwealth jurisdiction. In most jurisdictions, this includes ensuring that the dental X-ray equipment is used only by operators holding appropriate licences.
- (c) adequate X-ray viewing conditions and adequate film or electronic image processing and archiving conditions are provided.
- (d) X-ray equipment and processing equipment undergo maintenance on a regular basis and complies with the regulations of the relevant State, Territory or Commonwealth jurisdiction and with the recommendations of the manufacturers.

2.1.13 The Responsible Person must ensure that, where required, plans for buildings that are to incorporate dental radiographic facilities, including details of any shielding, are submitted to the regulatory authority before commissioning.

2.1.14 The Responsible Person, in consultation with the regulatory authority, must ensure that appropriate radiation safety assessments are made by an appropriately qualified person for the following circumstances:

- (a) before the dental X-ray equipment is put into routine use;
- (b) where the dental X-ray equipment is to be replaced or modified, or working procedures are to be modified<sup>2</sup>;
- (c) where personal monitoring indicates that the doses received by any person exceed, or are likely to exceed the appropriate dose limits or are higher than normal for no obvious reason, or are higher than average doses received in similar departments and practices;
- (d) where changes are to be made in the immediate environs that may result in an increase of occupancy<sup>3</sup>;
- (e) where an increase in workload in the department or practice is anticipated; or
- (f) whenever any servicing is carried out on the X-ray tube assembly.

2.1.15 If at any time a radiation safety assessment indicates that any person has or may have received doses in excess of the relevant effective dose limits, or the relevant dose constraints established, the Responsible Person must notify the regulatory authority.

## **2.2 RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CLINICIAN OPERATING THE DENTAL X-RAY EQUIPMENT**

2.2.1 Where the clinician operating the dental X-ray equipment is a dentist, the dentist must ensure that radiological examinations are carried out properly at all times during the course of dental treatment. This responsibility covers the following components of the examination:

- (a) determination of clinical need for the examination
- (b) selection of the most appropriate method of examination
- (c) optimising radiographic techniques
- (d) the use of optimal film or electronic image processing techniques
- (e) interpretation of radiographs
- (f) maintenance of radiographic records.

2.2.2 Where, if permitted by legislation of the relevant State, Territory or the Commonwealth, some of the components of conducting an

---

<sup>2</sup> 'Modified' means a change in the amount of radiation, the manner of its use or a change in the X-ray equipment or its location. Such modifications may mean the original protection is no longer adequate.

<sup>3</sup> An example would be a store or waiting area becoming an office.

examination are undertaken by another appropriately authorised person, such as a dental therapist, dental hygienist or dental assistant:

- (a) the dentist must remain ultimately responsible for overall patient care;
- (b) staff undertaking aspects of radiographic examination under this clause are properly trained and that work practices of all staff involved with radiological examinations are reviewed on a regular basis; and
- (c) the restrictions and responsibilities as specified in the legislation of the relevant State or Territory apply.

### **2.3 RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE REFERRER FOR RADIOGRAPHIC EXAMINATION**

In cases where patients are referred for radiographic examination, the referrer must provide clinical notes. These notes must contain both the reason for the radiographic examination as well as an adequate history. If any radiographs were taken in relation to the condition prior to the referral, these or the reports must also be included where relevant.

### **2.4 RESPONSIBILITIES OF PERSONS SUPPLYING, INSTALLING AND SERVICING DENTAL X-RAY EQUIPMENT**

2.4.1 The supplier is responsible for ensuring that:

- (a) dental X-ray equipment complies with the relevant Australian Standards and any equipment requirements of the regulatory authority; and
- (b) the purchaser is authorised by the regulatory authority to possess dental X-ray equipment.

2.4.2 The person installing, repairing or modifying dental X-ray equipment must:

- (a) be licensed, where required by the regulatory authority;
- (b) ensure that the setting for the image receptor sensitivity of newly installed, serviced or modified equipment complies with the sensitivity of the most frequently used image receptors;
- (c) ensure that X-ray equipment fitted with an object programmed exposure control (i.e. where the user selects the exposure from an icon showing a representation of the tooth or part to be examined) is adjusted to match the speed of the image receptor in use; and
- (d) set any default parameters for digital imaging so that the minimum exposure that is needed to obtain a satisfactory image is used.

## **2.5 COMPLIANCE TESTING OF X-RAY EQUIPMENT**

2.5.1 The regulatory authority may require X-ray equipment to be tested and certified to be in compliance with the relevant standards and local regulations prior to its use on humans. Persons undertaking these tests must be licensed or otherwise authorised by the relevant regulatory authority listed in Annex 1.

2.5.2 Where compliance testing of dental X-ray equipment at regular intervals is required by the regulatory authority, the Responsible Person must ensure that testing is carried out at the prescribed intervals.

### **3. Type and frequency of radiographic examination in dental practice**

#### **3.1 CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF THE NEED FOR RADIOGRAPHY**

3.1.1 The nature and extent of an actual or a suspected dental condition, its early detection, treatment and response to treatment must be the primary determining factors in submitting the patient to radiographic examination.

3.1.2 Radiology must not be used as a substitute for a clinical investigation, and therefore radiography must not be undertaken until a medical history has been taken and a clinical examination has established the need for a radiological examination, unless an emergency situation dictates otherwise.

3.1.3 Radiology is a most valuable aid to oral diagnosis, but it must be employed in accordance with the dental and general health needs of the individual patient.

#### **3.2 RESEARCH PROJECTS INVOLVING THE IRRADIATION OF HUMANS**

3.2.1 Where a project is to be undertaken for research purposes on humans the research must conform to generally accepted ethical and scientific principles.

3.2.2 To be medically justified, the information gained must be used to affect the care of people discovered to have a particular condition. For each project, there must be full compliance with the NHMRC's *National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Research Involving Humans* (1999) and the requirements of ARPANSA's *Code of Practice for Exposure of Humans to Ionizing Radiation for Research Purposes*, Radiation Protection Series Publication No. 8 (2005).

3.2.3 Such projects must be so designed that the frequency of radiographic examinations and the number of images per examination is the minimum necessary and every effort must be made to provide the individual patient with some direct benefit from the examinations made. Recommended dose constraints apply in cases where there is no direct benefit to volunteers.

## 4. Equipment and site requirements

### 4.1 COMPLIANCE WITH AUSTRALIAN STANDARDS AND REGULATORY AUTHORITY REQUIREMENTS

4.1.1 New dental X-ray equipment must be of a type registered by the Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA), and comply with the specifications of Australian Standard AS/NZS 3200.2.2014<sup>4</sup>, which applies to the following X-ray equipment used for general and specialist dental practice:

- (a) X-ray equipment for use with intra-oral image receptors;
- (b) X-ray equipment for panoramic radiography; and
- (c) dedicated cephalometric X-ray equipment.

4.1.2 Dental X-ray equipment which is in use at the time of introduction of this Code, and which does not comply with the relevant requirements of AS/NZS 3200.2.2014<sup>4</sup>, must be modified to comply with the requirements specified in Schedule 2, except where otherwise approved by the regulatory authority, or phased out of use on a time scale approved by the regulatory authority. Note that it may not be practical to modify some old equipment.

4.1.3 Dental X-ray equipment must not be used for fluoroscopy.

4.1.4 Equipment designed for intra-oral radiography must not be used for any other type of radiographic examination. Radiography of the mandible, including temporo-mandibular joints, must be conducted only on general purpose medical X-ray equipment or on special purpose equipment designed for such examinations unless otherwise authorised by the regulatory authority.

4.1.5 Hand and wrist radiography (which may be required for bone age determination) must only be performed on medical X-ray equipment or on special purpose equipment designed for such examinations, and operated by appropriately trained and licensed persons.

4.1.6 General purpose medical X-ray equipment must not be used for intra-oral dental radiography.

### 4.2 LOCATION OF X-RAY UNITS AND PROVISION OF STRUCTURAL SHIELDING

4.2.1 The Responsible Person must ensure that there is appropriate radiation shielding in the room where X-ray procedures are performed and appropriate shielding for operators, such that no person receives a radiation dose in excess of the relevant dose limit.

4.2.2 Approval for the structural shielding and other radiation safety measures may be required by the regulatory authority before X-ray equipment is used on humans. The Responsible Person must ensure that this approval is obtained where required.

---

4 Equipment such as specialised dental CT equipment is not included in this Standard, or in Schedule 2 of this Code. Its use is subject to the particular requirements of the relevant regulatory authority.

## **5. Image receptors and film processing**

### **5.1 DIGITAL IMAGING SYSTEMS**

5.1.1 A record must be kept of all exposures made using digital equipment in the form of a computer record with appropriate back-up, a hard copy of the image, or a written diagnostic report.

5.1.2 The image receptor must be of an appropriate size and compatible with the X-ray unit.

5.1.3 Operators must be appropriately trained in the use of digital imaging systems.

### **5.2 FILM SIZES AND APPLICATIONS**

5.2.1 Films that have passed the manufacturer's recommended expiry date must not be used.

5.2.2 Intra-oral films must comply with the appropriate ISO Standard (currently ISO 3665).

### **5.3 USE OF HIGH SPEED FILM**

5.3.1 The fastest radiographic film consistent with providing the diagnostic information sought ('E' speed or greater) must be used to ensure the least possible radiation dose to the patient (refer also to Safety Guide, Annex B).

5.3.2 With respect to extra-oral radiography, intensifying screens and an appropriate radiographic film intended for use with the particular type of intensifying screens must be used as the image receptor.

5.3.3 The fastest film-screen combination consistent with the required radiographic quality must be used.

5.3.4 Radiographic film without intensifying screens must not be used for dental radiological purposes other than for intra-oral dental radiography.

### **5.4 STORAGE OF UNEXPOSED FILMS**

5.4.1 Unexposed X-ray films must be stored in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations, in a container away from excessive heat, humidity or chemical contamination (eg from film processing chemicals), and adequately shielded against ionizing radiation or in an area remote from any X-ray unit.

### **5.5 PROCESSING OF FILMS<sup>5</sup>**

5.5.1 Manual processing of films must be in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations and must satisfy the following requirements:

---

<sup>5</sup> Additional information on film processing is provided in Annex 2.

- (a) temperature of developing solutions must be measured;
- (b) an appropriate time-temperature chart must be used to determine the processing time;
- (c) the temperature must be maintained during processing; and
- (d) the time of processing must be measured.

5.5.2 Films must not be processed by sight.

5.5.3 The concentrations of developing solutions must be in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications.

5.5.4 Developer and fixer must be replenished or replaced at appropriate intervals to maintain adequate image quality at acceptable patient doses.

## **5.6 QUALITY ASSURANCE OF PROCESSING**

5.6.1 Exposure techniques must not be adjusted to compensate for inadequate film processing.

5.6.2 An appropriate quality assurance program on film processing must be implemented to ensure that radiographs are of adequate diagnostic quality.

## **6. Procedures to minimise exposure to ionizing radiation**

### **6.1 USE OF EXPOSURE CHARTS**

Where exposure factors for specific examinations are not marked on the unit, a table of appropriate exposure factors must be displayed near the X-ray unit control panel.

### **6.2 PERSONS IN THE ROOM DURING RADIOGRAPHY**

6.2.1 In the case of a single-chair room, a person must not be present in the room during a radiographic exposure unless:

- (a) their presence is necessary for the conduct of the examination; and
- (b) that person is:
  - (i) behind a shield; or
  - (ii) wearing a protective apron providing attenuation equivalent to not less than 0.25 mm Pb<sup>6</sup>; or
  - (iii) at least 2 metres from the X-ray tube and not in line with the primary beam.

6.2.2 In the case of a multi chair room, there must be adequate shielding between the chairs.

6.2.3 Employees who have no direct involvement in work that requires exposure to radiation must have their exposure controlled such that their doses do not exceed the limit for members of the public.

### **6.3 EXPOSURE TO THE PRIMARY X-RAY BEAM**

Under no circumstances must the operator or any member of the staff be exposed to the primary beam during a radiographic exposure or during testing of the equipment.

### **6.4 HOLDING OF IMAGE RECEPTOR AND STANDARDISATION OF TECHNIQUE BY USING IMAGE RECEPTOR HOLDERS**

The image receptor must not be held in position by the operator or any member of the staff either by hand or with forceps.

### **6.5 HOLDING OF X-RAY UNIT**

A person must not hold any part of the X-ray tube head during a radiographic exposure.

---

<sup>6</sup> Requirement for a light apron from AS/NZS 4543.3:2000

## **6.6 HOLDING OF PATIENTS**

Neither the operator nor any member of the staff must hold patients during radiographic examinations. If parents or other persons are called to assist, they must be 18 years or older, must not be pregnant, must be provided with protective aprons and be positioned so as to avoid being exposed to the primary X-ray beam. One person must not regularly perform these duties.

## **6.7 POSITION OF OPERATOR DURING EXPOSURE**

6.7.1 With respect to the use of distance as a means of reducing radiation dose, the operator must stand outside the primary beam and be:

- (a) at least 2 metres away from both the X-ray tube head and the patient; or
- (b) behind structural shielding of an adequate area and thickness; or
- (c) behind a protective screen; or
- (d) wearing a protective apron providing attenuation equivalent to not less than 0.25 mm Pb.

6.7.2 Where a protective screen is provided, the exposure control must be arranged so that it can only be operated from within the protected area.

6.7.3 The operator must be able to observe the patient during dental radiographic procedures.

## **6.8 PERSONAL MONITORING**

6.8.1 Persons provided with personal monitoring devices by the Responsible Person must make proper use of those devices.

6.8.2 A person must not wear personal monitoring devices provided for monitoring of occupational exposure when undergoing any medical or dental radiography as a patient.

## Schedule 1

### ARPANSA's Recommendations for limiting exposure to ionizing radiation (2002) – Dose Limits

Application	Dose Limits <sup>1</sup>	
	Occupational	Public
Effective dose	20 mSv per year, averaged over a period of 5 consecutive calendar years <sup>2,3</sup>	1 mSv in a year <sup>4</sup>
Annual equivalent dose in:		
the lens of the eye	150 mSv	15 mSv
the skin <sup>5</sup>	500 mSv	50 mSv
the hands and feet	500 mSv	–

- 1 The limits shall apply to the sum of the relevant doses from external exposure in the specified period and the 50-year committed dose (to age 70 years for children) from intakes in the same period.
- 2 With the further provision that the effective dose shall not exceed 50 mSv in any single year. In addition, when a pregnancy is declared by a female employee, the embryo or fetus should be afforded the same level of protection as required for members of the public.
- 3 (DELETED)
- 4 In special circumstances, a higher value of effective dose could be allowed in a single year, provided that the average over 5 years does not exceed 1 mSv per year.
- 5 The equivalent dose limit for the skin applies to the dose averaged over any 1 cm<sup>2</sup> area of skin, regardless of the total area exposed.

**NOTE 1:** The above dose limits table has been directly extracted from ARPANSA's *Recommendations for limiting exposure to ionizing radiation (1995)*, [republished as RPS 1 in 2002]. However, as the Radiation Health Committee now advises that the exceptional circumstances clause is not recommended for use in Australia, note 3 of the table in RPS 1 has been deleted from this Code.

**NOTE 2:** Exposure to radiation from natural sources is generally excluded from occupational or public exposure, except when the exposure is a direct consequence of a practice or is specifically identified by the appropriate authority as requiring control through the implementation of a program of radiation protection. Medical exposure includes doses received by patients undergoing medical diagnosis or therapy, doses received by volunteers in medical research, and doses received knowingly and willingly by persons other than health care workers as a consequence of their proximity to an exposed patient. Dose limits do not apply to exposures from natural sources, except as described above, or to medical exposures.

## Schedule 2

### Minimum Requirements for Existing Dental X-ray Equipment

The following provides the minimum Standards to be met by dental equipment existing at the time of introduction of this Code:

The dental X-ray equipment must meet the following requirements:

- (a) be designed to permit the operator to preset exposure factor(s) without the need for energising the X-ray tube to check on the operation of the equipment;
- (b) where the X-ray equipment operates at fixed potential differences and currents, the exposure factors must be indicated in the accompanying documents and on labels attached to the equipment;
- (c) the selected tube potential difference, tube current and exposure time or current time product must be indicated by analogue meters, digital displays or scales, or by calibrated permanent markings;
- (d) adjustment or selection of the X-ray tube current must be by means of a calibrated control. Where a milli-ammeter is provided, it must be such that the full scale reading is at least 110 percent of the maximum nominal tube current of the equipment;
- (e) be marked with the position of the focal spot;
- (f) a green indicator on the control panel must indicate when the main switch is in the 'ON' position and the control panel is energised;
- (g) a clearly visible amber light must indicate when the X-ray tube is energised;
- (h) the 'beam on' indicator must be clearly marked, or its function appropriately set out in the instruction documents. In addition, a signal audible to the operator other than the sound produced fortuitously by switching devices or contactors during the exposure is to indicate either the duration of the exposure or its termination. Both signals are to be at the control panel, or, for remotely controlled equipment, at the position of the operator;
- (i) the exposure switch must be arranged so that the X-ray equipment can be operated from a distance of at least 2 metres from the X-ray tube and the patient;
- (j) all exposure switches must be of the dead-man type, so that continuous pressure is necessary to maintain the X-ray exposure and it must not be possible to make repeat exposures without releasing that switch;
- (k) all X-ray equipment must be equipped with electronic timers;
- (l) the timer must terminate an exposure at a preset time interval or at a preset product of current and time. This must be achieved by selecting the required time on the device or by selecting an icon. The manufacturer/supplier must clearly document the exposure time for each icon and the film or image receptor speed for which the exposure time is applicable; and
  - (i) where an icon is used, the exposure time must be clearly displayed on the timer or the timer handpiece;
  - (ii) it must not be possible to initiate an exposure if the timer is set to zero;
  - (iii) it must be possible to alter the timer setting to a higher or lower value after the initial adjustment without initiating an exposure;

- (iv) variations in exposure time caused by changes in image receptor speed settings must be displayed; and
- (v) where an image receptor sensitivity control is provided, the exposure setting for the image receptor (e.g. for 'D', 'E' or 'F' speed film) and/or the setting for electronic (digital) radiography must be clearly indicated by appropriate labelling of that control or by an appropriate display at the user's location. The last value selected must be the default;<sup>7,8,9</sup>
- (m) where the exposure is initiated by an infra-red or wireless remote control handpiece:
  - (i) the X-ray generator and handpiece must be encoded so that no other remote control handpiece can initiate exposures;
  - (ii) the control panel must have provision for storage of the remote control handpiece; and
  - (iii) the remote control handpiece must be permanent labelled with a warning identifying its purposes.
- (n) for full and half wave rectified generators, the timer controls must agree with the measured exposure time to within  $\pm 10\%$  or one pulse, whichever is the greater. The exposure time for these generators must be determined by counting the total number of pulses and multiplying by half the mains period (0.01 for 50 Hz) if full wave rectified, or the mains period (0.02 for 50 Hz) if half wave rectified. For all other generators, the timer control must agree with the measured exposure time to within  $\pm 10\% + 1$  ms. The exposure time for these generators must be determined from the time the waveform rises to 75% of the kV peak until the time when it finally drops to below this value;<sup>10</sup>
- (o) the delivered X-ray tube voltage (kV peak average) must be within  $\pm 5$  kV peak or  $\pm 5\%$ , whichever is the greater, of the nominal or indicated value averaged over not less than 0.1 s and commencing 0.1 s from initiation of the exposure. (Compliance may be tested with a calibrated non-invasive kVp measuring device);
- (p) the X-ray tube must be surrounded by a protective housing or other protective shielding. The X-ray window of such a housing must have an aperture of such dimension that it will not allow passage of a useful beam larger than the maximum specified. X-ray equipment intended for applications requiring beam sizes smaller than the maximum available useful beam must incorporate an additional fixed diaphragm to limit the aperture of the X-ray window to the maximum size required;
- (q) except for equipment used for panoramic tomographic radiography, the X-ray tube head must remain stationary when placed in position for radiography.; In the loading state, the air kerma of leakage radiation from X-ray tube assemblies at 1 m from the focal spot, averaged over any area of 10 000 mm<sup>2</sup> of which no principal linear dimension exceeds 200 mm, when operated at

<sup>7</sup> Incorrect image receptor speed settings may result in patients (and users) being exposed to significantly higher radiation doses than necessary.

<sup>8</sup> Persons supplying or installing dental X-ray equipment fitted with such a control should ensure that it is initially set to match the image receptor speed used by the purchaser.

<sup>9</sup> Electronic (digital) imaging systems generally require significantly lower exposures than those required for conventional films.

<sup>10</sup> Apparatus which initiates filament heating concurrently with the application of the X-ray tube voltage may exhibit some instability in both the X-ray tube voltage and the air kerma rate until the tube current stabilises. Short periods (tens of milliseconds) are of little significance but larger periods may affect accuracy of the assessment when using non-invasive test equipment.

the nominal X-ray tube voltage under conditions of loading corresponding to the maximum specified energy input in one hour, must not exceed the limits stated below:

- (i) for X-ray tube assemblies specified for use in X-ray equipment for dental radiography with intra-oral X-ray image receptors at X-ray tube voltages not exceeding 90 kV peak, 0.25 mGy in one hour;
- (ii) for all other X-ray tube assemblies, 1.0 mGy in one hour;
- (r) the coefficient of variation of the measured air kerma for typically used combinations of loading factors must not exceed 0.05 and compliance with this requirement must be based on not less than five consecutive measurements taken within 10 min, each with an exposure time of not less than 0.1 s;
- (s) at the nominal high voltage setting, the air kerma must be linear with respect to the current-time product (mAs) over its full range. Compliance is obtained if the maximum ( $X_{\max}$ ) and minimum ( $X_{\min}$ ) values of the kerma per mAs are such that:

$$X_{\max} - X_{\min} \leq 0.1 (X_{\max} + X_{\min})$$

For equipment with fixed X-ray tube voltage and current, output linearity with mAs is checked against changes in exposure time. Where the equipment has more than one tube current setting, compliance is checked against changes in tube current setting using an exposure time not less than 0.1 s.

In addition, the following requirements must be met for the equipment specified:

1. *For extra-oral X-ray tubes with intra-oral image receptors:*
  - (a) the X-ray equipment must be operated at potential differences of between 60 kV (peak) and 90 kV (peak);
  - (b) the maximum dimension of the X-ray field at the open end of the beam applicator is not to exceed 60 mm;
  - (c) the outline of the open end of the beam applicator must coincide with the size and position of the X-ray field. This outline must at no point be more than 3 mm outside the corresponding point of the X-ray field;
  - (d) only open ended beam applicators must be used and these must limit the focus-skin distance to not less than 200mm;
  - (e) the total filtration must be such as to ensure that the measured half-value layer is equal to or greater than that specified in Table 1; and
  - (f) the kerma in air from leakage radiation from a tube assembly, including cones, diaphragms and collimator, must not exceed 0.25 mGy in any 1-hour period at a distance of 1 metre from the focal spot.
2. *For X-ray equipment used for dental panoramic radiography:*
  - (a) must be operated at potential differences of between 55 kV (peak) and 125 kV (peak);
  - (b) the dimensions of the useful beam at the secondary collimator, between the patient and the image receptor, must be determined by the beam-limiting device at the X-ray tube housing and must not exceed either of the two dimensions of the slot in the secondary collimator or the image receptor height;
  - (c) the total filtration must be such as to ensure that the measured half-value layer is equal to, or greater than, that specified in Table 1; and

- (d) X-ray equipment that is designed for the dual use of panoramic tomography and cephalometric radiography must be provided with interchangeable or selectable collimation which is interlocked with the mode of use.
3. *For X-ray equipment for cephalometric radiography:*
- (a) must be operated at potential differences of between 60 kV (peak) and 125 kV (peak);
  - (b) a beam-limiting device must be provided to restrict the radiation field to the image receptor area. The dimensions of this field must not exceed 240 mm × 300 mm;
  - (c) the distance between the mid-sagittal plane of the patient and the image receptor must be such that the magnification ratio of structures in the mid-sagittal plane is 1:1.12;
  - (d) means must be provided to limit the focus-skin distance to not less than 1500 mm;
  - (e) where a light beam collimator is provided:
    - (i) it must be attached to the tube housing so that it cannot become detached without the use of tools;
    - (ii) the shutters of the light beam collimator must be capable of closing completely;
    - (iii) it must be marked with the value of its filtration expressed in millimetres of aluminium at a specified peak voltage;
    - (iv) the centre of the illuminated area must be indicated;
    - (v) it must be designed so that the irradiated area and the illuminated area are effectively co-incident and must not be mis-aligned by more than 1 % of the selected focus to film distance on any margin of the image receptor;
    - (vi) the illuminance of the light beam must be not less than 100 lux at a distance of 1000 mm from the light source;
    - (vii) means must be provided to limit the illuminating period to no more than 2 min. At the completion of any 2 minute period, it must be necessary to manually initiate a further period of illumination;
    - (viii) light sources must be easily replaced and must not be permanently connected, e.g. soldered; and
    - (ix) the light source must be supplied with a low-voltage isolation type transformer.
  - (f) the total filtration must be such as to ensure that the measured half-value layer is equal to, or greater than, that specified in Table 1; and
  - (g) X-ray equipment that is designed for the dual use of panoramic tomography and cephalometric radiography must be provided with interchangeable or selectable collimation which is interlocked with the mode of use.

**Table 1 Minimum Half-value layer of the useful beam at given potential difference**

Equipment type	Measured potential kV (peak)	Minimum Permissible first Half-value layer mm Al
Intra-oral receptor	50	Not permitted
	60	1.5
	70	1.5
	>70	See other dental equipment
Other dental equipment	50	1.5
	60	1.8
	70	2.1
	80	2.3
	90	2.5
	100	2.7
	110	3.0
	120	3.2
125	3.3	

**NOTE:** Half-value layers for intermediate selected voltages are to be obtained by linear interpolation.

## References and Further Reading

### 1. REFERENCES

- ARPANSA/NOHSC, *Recommendations for Limiting Exposure to Ionizing Radiation (1995) and National Standard for Limiting Occupational Exposure to Ionizing Radiation (1995)*, republished as Radiation Protection Series 1 (RPS1), 2002.
- ARPANSA, *Code of Practice for Exposure of Humans to Ionizing Radiation for Research Purposes*, RPS8, 2005.
- ICRP (1991) 1990 *Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection*, ICRP Publication 60.
- International Organization for Standardization (1996) *Photography-Intra-oral dental radiographic film-Specification* ISO Standard 3665-1996.
- Le Heron J. (1997) *Lead Aprons - Should they be used for dental x-rays?* ACPSEM Bulletin May 1997.
- National Radiation Laboratory (New Zealand) *Code of Safe Practice for the use of X-rays in Dentistry* NRL C7
- National Radiation Laboratory (New Zealand) *Radiation Doses to Patients from Dental Radiography in New Zealand*. Report NRL 1990/6
- National Radiological Protection Board (1994) *Documents of the NRPB. Guidelines on Radiology Standards for Primary Dental Care*. Doc. Vol 5, no 3, 1994
- National Radiological Protection Board (2001) *Guidance Notes for Dental Practitioners on the Safe Use of X-Ray Equipment*
- Standards Australia AS 3200.1 (1998) *Approval and Test Specification - Medical Electrical Equipment Part 1 - General Requirements for Safety*.
- Standards Australia AS 3200.1.3 (1996) *Approval and Test Specification - Medical Electrical Equipment Part 1.3 - General Requirements for Safety - Collateral Requirements for Radiation Protection in Diagnostic X-ray Equipment*.
- Standards Australia AS 3200.2.201 (2000) *Approval and Test Specification - Medical Electrical Equipment Part 2.201 - Particular Requirements for Safety - Dento-Maxillofacial X-ray Equipment*.
- Standards Australia AS 3200.2.7 (1999) *Approval and Test Specification - Medical Electrical Equipment Part 2.7- Particular Requirements for Safety - High Voltage Generators of Diagnostic X-ray Generators*.
- Standards Australia AS 3200.2.28 (1994) *Approval and Test Specification - Medical Electrical Equipment Part 2.28- Particular Requirements for Safety - X-ray source Assemblies and X-ray Tube Assemblies for Medical Diagnosis Generators*.
- Tingey, D.R.C. (1983) *Quality control procedure for dental X-ray film processing*. Report No. ARL/TR059, Australian Radiation Laboratory, Yallambie.

## **2. FURTHER READING**

Australian Dental Association (2000). *The Practical Guides* 6<sup>th</sup> edition, ADA Inc. (ISBN 0909961 34 4).

Langlais P., O.E. Langland & C.J. Nortjé (1995) *Diagnostic imaging of the jaws*, Lea & Febiger, Baltimore.

National Health and Medical Research Council 1985, *Recommendations for minimizing radiological hazards to patients*, Radiation Health Series No. 14, AGPS, Canberra.

White S.C. & Pharoah M.J. (2000) *Oral Radiology – Principles and Interpretation* 4<sup>th</sup> ed. Mosby, St Louis.

## Glossary

### Absorbed dose

The energy absorbed per unit mass by matter from ionizing radiation which impinges upon it. The unit of absorbed dose is the joule per kilogram (J/kg) with the special name gray (Gy).

### Beam applicator

An accessory device on dental X-ray equipment used to indicate the position of the useful beam and to establish a definite focus-skin distance.

### Collimator

A fixed or adjustable device to limit the useful beam to specific dimensions.

### Contrast

The difference in photographic density between various image areas.

### Dead-man switch

A switch used to initiate an X-ray exposure which will automatically terminate the exposure when released.

### Dose

A generic term for absorbed dose, equivalent dose or effective dose depending on the context in which it is used. As a general rule, absorbed dose or equivalent dose is relevant where reference is made to doses received at a particular point or for a dose to an organ. Effective dose is used when the risk from an exposure needs to be compared to a whole body dose.

### Dose constraint

A prospective restriction on anticipated dose, primarily intended to be used to discard undesirable options in an optimisation calculation.

- In occupational exposure, a dose constraint may be used to restrict the options considered in the design of the working environment for a particular category of employee.
- In medical exposure, a dose constraint for volunteers in medical research may be used to restrict the options considered in the design of an experimental protocol.
- In public exposure, a dose constraint may be used to restrict the exposure of the critical group from a particular source of radiation.

### Dose rate

The dose per unit time.

### Effective dose

A measure of dose which takes into account both the type of radiation involved and the radiological sensitivities of the organs and tissues irradiated. The effective dose is given by the expression:

$$E = \sum_T w_T H_T$$

Where  $H_T$  is the equivalent dose in organ or tissue  $T$  and  $w_T$  is the weighting factor for that organ or dose. The unit of effective dose is the same as for equivalent dose,  $J.kg^{-1}$ , with the special name sievert (Sv).

### Equivalent Dose

Equivalent dose,  $H$ , is a weighted dose in an organ or tissue, with the radiation weighting factor(s) determined by the type and energy of the radiation to which the organ or tissue is exposed. The equivalent dose  $H_T$  in organ or tissue  $T$  is given by the expression:

$$H_T = \sum_R w_R D_{T,R}$$

where  $D_{T,R}$  is the absorbed dose averaged over the organ or tissue and  $w_R$  is the weighting factor for that radiation. The unit of equivalent dose is the same as for absorbed dose,  $J.kg^{-1}$ , with the special name sievert (Sv).

### Exposure

The actuation of X-ray equipment producing radiation.

### Exposure factors

The X-ray tube potential in kilovolts (peak) (kV peak) and milliamperes (mA) and the exposure time in seconds (s), or the product of the tube current and exposure time in milliamperere seconds (mAs).

### Filtration

The modification of the spectral distribution of an X-ray beam as it passes through matter, due to the preferential attenuation of particular photon energies in the radiation beam.

*Added filtration:* quantity indicating the filtration effected by added filters in the useful beam, but excluding inherent filtration.

*Inherent filtration:* the filtration effected by the irremovable materials of the X-ray tube assembly through which the radiation beam passes before emerging from the X-ray tube assembly.

*Total filtration:* the total of inherent filtration and added filtration between the radiation source and the patient or a defined plane.

### Focus-skin distance

The distance between the point within the X-ray tube from which X-rays originate and the entrance plane in which the patient's skin is located.

### Half-value layer

The thickness of a specified material which reduces the exposure or kerma rate in air of a given X-ray beam to half its original value.

### Kerma

The Kinetic Energy Released per unit Mass in material by ionizing radiation. The unit of kerma is the joule per kilogram (J/kg). The special name of the unit is the gray (Gy).

**Leakage radiation**

Ionizing radiation transmitted through the protective shielding surrounding a radiation source.

**Optical density**

The logarithm to the base 10 of the ratio of incident light to the transmitted light through processed film.

**Panoramic radiography**

Radiography of the mandible and the maxilla performed by the controlled rotation of an extra-oral X-ray source and an extra-oral image receptor around one or more axes in relation to the patient's head.

**Responsible Person**

In relation to any radioactive source, radiation apparatus, prescribed radiation facility or premises on which unsealed radioactive sources are stored or used means the person:

- (a) having overall management responsibility including responsibility for the security and maintenance of the source, apparatus, or facility;
- (b) having overall control over who may use the source or apparatus, or facility; and
- (c) in whose name the source, apparatus, or facility, would be registered if this is required.

**Scattered radiation**

Ionizing radiation resulting from the interaction of ionizing radiation with matter.

**Sievert (Sv)**

The special name of the unit of equivalent dose and effective dose. It has units of joule per kilogram (J/kg).

**Speed or sensitivity of an X-ray film**

The reciprocal of the exposure required to produce an optical density of 1.0 above base plus fog for specified exposure and processing conditions.

**Useful beam**

(From a source of ionizing radiation) all ionizing radiation which emerges through the specified aperture of its protective shielding and its beam collimator.

**X-ray tube current**

The electric current flowing through an X-ray tube during an exposure expressed in milliamperes (mA).

**X-ray tube potential difference**

The peak value of the potential difference applied to the X-ray tube expressed in kilovolts (peak) (kVp).

## Annex 1

### Regulatory Authorities

Where advice or assistance is required from the relevant regulatory authority for radiation protection, it may be obtained from the following officers:

COMMONWEALTH, STATE / TERRITORY	CONTACT
Commonwealth	Director, Regulatory Branch ARPANSA PO Box 655 Miranda NSW 1490 Email: <a href="mailto:info@arpansa.gov.au">info@arpansa.gov.au</a> Tel: (02) 9541 8333 Fax: (02) 9541 8348
New South Wales	Director Radiation Control Department of Environment and Conservation PO Box A290 Sydney South NSW 1232 Email: <a href="mailto:radiation@environment.nsw.gov.au">radiation@environment.nsw.gov.au</a> Tel: (02) 9995 5000 Fax: (02) 9995 6603
Queensland	Director, Radiation Health Department of Health 450 Gregory Terrace Fortitude Valley QLD 4006 Email: <a href="mailto:radiation_health@health.qld.gov.au">radiation_health@health.qld.gov.au</a> Tel: (07) 3406 8000 Fax: (07) 3406 8030
South Australia	Director, Radiation Protection Division Environment Protection Authority PO Box 721 Kent Town SA 5071 Email: <a href="mailto:radiationprotection@state.sa.gov.au">radiationprotection@state.sa.gov.au</a> Tel: (08) 8130 0700 Fax: (08) 8130 0777
Tasmania	Senior Health Physicist Health Physics Branch Department of Health and Human Services GPO Box 125B Hobart TAS 7001 Email: <a href="mailto:health.physics@dhhs.tas.gov.au">health.physics@dhhs.tas.gov.au</a> Tel: (03) 6222 7256 Fax: (03) 6222 7257
Victoria	Manager, Radiation Safety Program Department of Human Services GPO Box 4057 Melbourne VIC 3001 Email: <a href="mailto:radiation.safety@dhs.vic.gov.au">radiation.safety@dhs.vic.gov.au</a> Tel: (03) 9637 4167 Fax: (03) 9637 4508
Western Australia	Secretary, Radiological Council Locked Bag 2006 PO Nedlands WA 6009 Email: <a href="mailto:radiation.health@health.wa.gov.au">radiation.health@health.wa.gov.au</a> Tel: (08) 9346 2260 Fax: (08) 9381 1423
Australian Capital Territory	Manager Radiation Safety Radiation Safety Section ACT Health Locked Bag 5 Weston Creek ACT 2611 Email: <a href="mailto:radiation.safety@act.gov.au">radiation.safety@act.gov.au</a> Tel: (02) 6207 6946 Fax: (02) 6207 6966
Northern Territory	Manager Radiation Protection Radiation Protection Section Department of Health and Community Services GPO Box 40596 Casuarina NT 0811 Email: <a href="mailto:envirohealth@nt.gov.au">envirohealth@nt.gov.au</a> Tel: (08) 8922 7152 Fax: (08) 8922 7334

**Please note:** This table was correct at the time of printing but is subject to change from time to time. For the most up-to-date list, the reader is advised to consult the ARPANSA web site ([www.arpansa.gov.au](http://www.arpansa.gov.au)).

For after hours emergencies only, the police will provide the appropriate emergency contact number.

## **Annex 2**

### **Use and Processing of Dental Films**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Too great an emphasis cannot be placed on the need for high standards of practice in the use and processing of dental films. The quality of a finished radiograph and its ability to provide the maximum amount of diagnostic information depends upon a number of factors, such as type of film (or film-screen combination), the film size and application, radiographic technique, exposure factors and processing. To produce high quality films it is of the utmost importance that as many of these factors as possible be standardised. Standardisation of processing is best achieved by using automatic processors with temperature control.

#### **1. CHOICE OF FILM**

##### **(a) Film Size**

The choice of film size that is inappropriate for the particular examination may result in an unnecessary radiation dose to the patient, as the radiograph may have to be repeated due to the distortion of the image.

Consequently, it is recommended that intra-oral films should be used for applications appropriate to their size, as follows:

<i>Size number</i>	<i>Dimensions (mm)</i>	<i>Applications</i>
0	22 × 35	(i) periapical radiographs of deciduous teeth (ii) bitewing radiographs of deciduous teeth
1	24 × 40	(i) periapical radiographs of permanent incisors and canines (ii) bitewing radiographs of mixed dentitions
2	31 × 41	(i) occlusal views of very small children (ii) periapical radiographs of permanent premolars and molars (iii) bitewing radiographs of permanent dentitions
3	27 × 54	not recommended for use
4	57 × 76	occlusal views of adults and children

**(b) Film Speed**

The choice of film speed is also of importance. For a given set of exposure conditions involving selected values of (peak) kilovoltage (kV (peak)), tube current (mA), exposure time, focus-film distance and filtration, the photographic density of a dental radiograph will depend markedly on the speed of the film used. High speed dental films result in the use of a lower mA and exposure time product than films of lesser speed. The selection of the optimum tube current and exposure time product can result in a significant reduction in the radiation dose to the patient and to the dentist and staff. The use of high speed dental films may necessitate a modification of radiographic technique and/or the X-ray unit. The mA and exposure time product may be reduced by decreasing either or both of these operating factors. Many dental X-ray units are designed for operation at fixed mA, and as such, it may be possible for the supplier of the dental X-ray unit to adjust the circuit so that a lower fixed value of mA is obtained. However, the adjustment of mA will most likely affect the kV, hence any adjustment to mA should not be at the expense of causing the X-ray unit to fail a kV accuracy test.

**2. FACTORS AFFECTING THE FINAL RADIOGRAPH**

High standards of processing contribute to better quality radiographs for diagnostic purposes and to the elimination of one cause of avoidable repeat radiographic examinations which result in additional unnecessary radiation exposure both to the patient and the dentist and staff.

Although patients will vary a great deal and exposures will have to vary to compensate, ALL processing factors should be constant.

**(a) General**

Automatic processors need to be meticulously maintained. Strict adherence to manufacturers' recommended maintenance schedules is essential for optimal functioning of these devices. Positioning of 'daylight' units should be away from bright light sources and/or direct sunlight.

The following sections apply to manual (wet tank) processing techniques, although the principles are still relevant to semi-automatic and automatic processors. In the case of semi-automatic or automatic processors, the manufacturer's instructions should be followed, in order to obtain the best processing results.

To obtain radiographs of a uniform high quality it is important that exposed films be processed under reproducible conditions with respect to:

- concentration of chemical solutions;
- temperature of developer;
- time of development, fixing and washing;
- processing techniques.

It should be noted that the processing solutions should be used as specified by the manufacturer for the type of film employed.

With respect to the time-temperature relationship, the use of a set temperature and a corresponding time of development are essential. It is important that the temperature of the developing solution is measured and a time of development employed appropriate to that temperature. This is calculated from a time-temperature chart supplied by the manufacturer.

In some situations, for example endodontic procedures, rapid processing of the film may be required. This can be achieved by increasing the developer temperature so that development of the film occurs in a substantially shorter time than by using standard techniques, or by the use of commercially available rapid processing chemistry. To obtain the correct time-temperature relationship, reference should be made to the time-temperature chart as supplied by the X-ray chemical manufacturer. It should be noted that a rapid processing technique may result in radiographs which are 'grainy' and lacking in contrast, and although suitable for endodontic work, would not be adequate for normal procedures due to the lack of diagnostic information. Care should be taken that the developer temperature has returned to the normal level before further processing using standard techniques is carried out. Conventional film with rapid processing chemistry will generally give better results than instant process films.

**(b) Darkroom requirements and procedures**

- |                         |   |
|-------------------------|---|
| 1. Light proof darkroom | - exclude all extraneous light  |
| 2. Ventilation          | - adequate ventilation should be provided   |
| 3. Processing unit      | - developer, fixer and wash tanks   |
| 4. Safe-lights          | - as recommended by film manufacturer   |
| 5. Thermometer          | - not mercury type  |
| 6. Timer                | - timer with alarm which is suitable for darkroom use, and includes graduation increments of 30 seconds |
| 7. Film hangers         | - to fit tanks  |
| 8. Chemicals            | - developer and fixer; mix to manufacturer's instructions   |
| 9. Disposal             | - used chemicals should be safely disposed of   |

Attention should be directed towards:

- organisation of work in the darkroom to avoid damage to films;
- the use of an appropriate safe-light;
- the proper storage before processing of unexposed and exposed film away from heat, radiation and chemical contamination;
- the use of film on a first-in, first-out basis to minimise use of old stock;
- the regular replenishment or renewal of processing solutions; and
- following the procedures outlined below with respect to developing, fixing and washing and drying of films.

*Ventilation of darkrooms.* A darkroom should be provided with extraction ventilation providing fifteen air changes per hour. Fresh air should be supplied to the darkroom in such a manner that negative pressure is maintained between the darkroom and other areas thus preventing an outflow of fumes.

*Refrigeration of films.* It should be noted that unexposed film stored in a refrigerator should be allowed to stand for a few hours to avoid condensation forming and causing the films to stick together. This applies to film stored in sheets in a sealed package, or used in panoramic and cephalometric units. This precaution does not apply to individually wrapped intra-oral and occlusal films.

*Storage of Film Processing Chemicals.* Film processing chemicals must not be stored in the same refrigerator or cupboard as foodstuffs.

*Disposal of used chemicals.* Regulations with respect to safe disposal of used processing chemicals may vary between various regions. It is therefore recommended that dental care providers contact the appropriate authority in their State or Territory for advice.

**(c) Developer**

1. For manual development, always dilute the developer concentrate as specified by the chemical manufacturer – often 1 part concentrate to 4 parts water. However, for processing units such as the Dry-O-Mat, Procomat, Velopex, Periomat, etc, the developing time is fixed and a special dilution rate must be used depending on the type of processing unit and the type of developer.
2. The developer should be stirred before use with a ‘developer only’ stirrer.
3. Low levels must be topped up with FRESHLY mixed replenisher. Replace the developer if the total volume of replenishment used exceeds twice the tank volume. Where small volume tanks are used, replenishment is not practical and freshly mixed developing solution should be made up to completely replace the old developer.
4. The developer should be changed at least every 2 weeks or if it becomes contaminated.
5. The volume of the tank should be checked and the developer should be mixed strictly to manufacturer’s recommendations. Always mix developer AFTER fixer as contamination of developer is a greater problem and often requires immediate disposal of the developer. Contamination of developer with even a small quantity of fixer will result in fogging of the processed radiograph. This may not occur immediately after mixing but may happen after some days. It is therefore advisable to dispose of contaminated developer immediately after contamination has occurred.

**(d) Fixer**

1. The fixer should be stirred before use with a ‘fixer only’ stirrer.
2. Low fixer level must be topped up with FRESHLY mixed replenisher, or for small volume tanks, the old fixer should be completely replaced by freshly mixed fixer.
3. The fixer should be changed when the clearing time is over 2 minutes, or at least every 2 weeks.
4. The volume of the tank should be checked and the fixer be mixed strictly to the manufacturer’s instructions. Always mix fixer before developer.

*Note:* All solutions should be at approximately the same temperature, although only the developer temperature is critical. When solutions are changed, the tanks must be thoroughly cleaned with separate cloths. Steel wool and abrasive powders should not be used.

**(e) Processing**

1. Check developer temperature, set timer for the recommended time, and place the film in hanger into the developer.

2. Extra-oral films: Agitate the film without lifting film out of solution using a vertical motion when first placed into the solution, and agitate three or four times during the developing period. Agitation for intra-oral films is usually not required.
3. When the timer sounds, quickly remove the film and allow it to drain over the wash tank – NOT OVER the developer.
4. Rinse the film for fifteen seconds in the wash tank and allow to drain back into wash tank.
5. Place the film in the fixer tank and again agitate several times-particularly during the first minute of fixing.
6. Leave the film in the fixer for the time recommended by the manufacturer (normally 4-6 minutes), or at least twice the time it takes to clear the unexposed sections. The film should not be left in the fixer for more than 15 minutes.
7. Allow the fixer to drain back into the fixer tank, and then place the film in the wash tank.
8. Films should be washed in clean running water. The water should be renewed at a rate of approximately 8 times per hour.
9. After 30 minutes, drain the films and hang to dry in a dust free area - warm moving air is most effective.

*Note:* Steps 1-6 must be carried out under safe-light conditions.

***(f) Additional processing hints***

1. Keep hands dry when handling films.
2. Touching the emulsion of unprocessed films with certain latex gloves may result in dark stains appearing on the processed film.
3. Avoid splashing chemicals as this may cause contamination of other solutions.
4. Tanks should be covered with lids when not in use as this retards oxidation of the developer and keeps dust out of the solutions. For large developer tanks, a floating lid may be desirable.

***(g) Darkroom light-proof test***

Turn off all darkroom lights and remain in the completely darkened room for ten minutes. Look around the room for light leaks and mark them with chalk. Repair holes to prevent light leaks.

***(h) Safe-light test***

Darkroom

1. Physically examine the safe-light filter and housing for cracks or white light leaks. Check that the globe is 25 watts or less, preferably 15 watts.

2. Turn off all darkroom lights, remove a dental film from its packet and place on the workbench.
3. Cover three-quarters of the film with a piece of cardboard and turn on the safe-lights.
4. After 30 seconds move the cardboard so that half of the film is covered.
5. After an additional 30 seconds move the cardboard so that only one-quarter of the film is covered.
6. At the end of a further 30 seconds turn off the safe-lights.
7. Process the film in total darkness.
8. Safe-lights can only be considered safe for the period of exposure which shows no significant difference in blackness compared with the unexposed area.
9. If the handling time of the films in the darkness is longer than the safe period:
  - replace the globe with one of lower wattage; and/or
  - direct safe-lights at the wall or ceiling; and/or
  - replace the safe-light filter according to the film manufacturer's recommendations.

**(i) *Self-contained developing systems with an inspection window***

1. Develop a film in complete darkness by covering the inspection window with a blackout cover.
2. Remove the cover and develop a second film normally.
3. If there is a significant difference in the blackness of the two films then the effectiveness of the inspection window is unsatisfactory. The lighting in the room should be decreased and the test repeated until there is no significant difference between the blackness of the two films.

**(This page intentionally left blank)**



**Australian Government**

**Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency**

**SAFETY GUIDE**

# Radiation Protection in Dentistry

Radiation Protection Series Publication No. 10

**(This page intentionally left blank)**

# Safety Guide Contents

Radiation  
Protection  
Series  
No. 10

Safety Guide  
Radiation Protection in Dentistry

<b>1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>41</b>
1.1 CITATION .....	41
1.2 BACKGROUND.....	41
1.3 PURPOSE.....	41
1.4 SCOPE .....	41
1.5 STRUCTURE .....	42
<b>2. Responsibilities .....</b>	<b>43</b>
2.1 OVERALL RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CLINICIAN OPERATING THE DENTAL X-RAY EQUIPMENT.....	43
2.2 MAINTENANCE OF PATIENT'S RADIOGRAPHIC RECORDS.....	43
<b>3. Type and frequency of radiographic examination in dental practice .....</b>	<b>44</b>
3.1 CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF THE NEED FOR RADIOGRAPHY.....	44
3.2 MEDICO-LEGAL EXAMINATIONS .....	44
3.3 RESEARCH PROJECTS INVOLVING THE IRRADIATION OF HUMANS .....	44
<b>4. Equipment and site requirements.....</b>	<b>45</b>
4.1 COMPLIANCE WITH AUSTRALIAN STANDARDS AND REGULATORY AUTHORITY REQUIREMENTS .....	45
4.2 LOCATION OF X-RAY UNITS AND PROVISION OF STRUCTURAL SHIELDING .....	45
<b>5. Image receptors and film processing.....</b>	<b>46</b>
5.1 DIGITAL IMAGING SYSTEMS.....	46
5.2 FILM SIZES AND APPLICATIONS .....	46
5.3 USE OF HIGH SPEED FILM.....	46
5.4 PROCESSING OF FILMS .....	46
5.5 QUALITY ASSURANCE IN PROCESSING .....	47
5.6 VIEWING OF RADIOGRAPHS.....	49
<b>6. Procedures to minimise exposure to ionizing radiation .....</b>	<b>50</b>
6.1 GENERAL PRINCIPLES .....	50
6.2 MINIMISING DOSES TO THE PATIENT.....	50
6.3 MINIMISING DOSES TO THE OPERATOR AND OTHER STAFF.....	52
<b>Annex A Health Effects of Ionizing Radiation and Standards for Control of Exposure .....</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>Annex B Doses and Risks from Dental Radiographic Examinations .....</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>Annex C ARPANSA Radiation Protection Series Publications .....</b>	<b>59</b>

**(This page intentionally left blank)**

# **1. Introduction**

## **1.1 CITATION**

This Safety Guide may be cited as the *Safety Guide for Radiation Protection in Dentistry (2005)*.

## **1.2 BACKGROUND**

The Safety Guide provides additional explanatory material on certain aspects of the *Code of Practice for Radiation Protection in Dentistry*.

The Safety Guide provides information to help obtain a satisfactory radiograph with minimum exposure to radiation of the patient, the clinician and other persons involved with the examination. It includes information on the following responsibilities and protective measures:

- allocation of responsibility;
- need for clinical assessment of the indications for radiography;
- provision of appropriate equipment, film and processing facilities;
- adoption of procedures to minimise exposure to radiation.

## **1.3 PURPOSE**

This Safety Guide has been prepared as a supplement to the *Code of Practice for Radiation Protection in Dentistry*. It provides advice and guidance on measures that could be employed to assist in meeting the requirements of the Code of Practice.

## **1.4 SCOPE**

This Safety Guide deals with radiographic procedures used in general and specialist dental practice. These include:

- intra-oral radiography: periapical, bitewing and occlusal views;
- panoramic radiography;
- cephalometry;
- other forms of radiography of the complete skull or certain parts of the dento-maxillofacial region;
- hand and wrist radiography for the purpose of the determination of the bone age.

Certain of the above procedures may be restricted or have special regulatory requirements in some States or Territories.

This safety guide should assist Responsible Persons in ensuring safety in the use of dental X-ray equipment and any person performing a dental radiographic examination (e.g. dentists, radiographers, dental therapists, dental hygienists and dental assistants with extended duties) in dental practice.

## **1.5 STRUCTURE**

This Safety Guide sets out information that should assist in achieving the levels of protection established in the Code of Practice. It does not form part of the material that would be adopted into regulatory frameworks by State, Territory or Commonwealth regulatory authorities.

The meaning of terms used in this Safety Guide is the same as the meaning defined in the Glossary to the Code of Practice.

Material in the Annexes provides clarification and guidance on issues discussed in the Safety Guide.

## 2. Responsibilities

### 2.1 OVERALL RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CLINICIAN OPERATING THE DENTAL X-RAY EQUIPMENT

The clinician is responsible for implementing procedures for radiographic examinations that embody adequate safeguards to ensure that patients and staff are exposed to the minimum amount of radiation consistent with the production of a radiograph of optimal diagnostic quality. This responsibility also includes measures to minimise radiation exposure to members of the public. Where the operator is a dentist, this responsibility covers the following components of the examination:

- determination of clinical need for the examination;
- selection of the most appropriate method of examination;
- optimising radiographic techniques;
- the use of optimal film or electronic image processing techniques;
- interpretation of radiographs;
- maintenance of radiographic records.

Subject to legislation of the relevant State or Territory some of these components may be undertaken by, for instance, dental auxiliaries, such as dental hygienists, dental therapists and dental assistants. However, in such cases the dentist remains ultimately responsible for overall patient care. It is also the dentist's responsibility to be aware of the latest developments in all of the above aspects and to ensure that staff undertaking aspects of a radiographic examination are properly trained and that work practices of all staff involved with radiological examinations are reviewed on a regular basis.

### 2.2 MAINTENANCE OF PATIENT'S RADIOGRAPHIC RECORDS

Techniques and/or exposure factors which deviate from normal settings should be entered on the patient's record.

If a patient transfers to a different practice the available radiographs or duplicates of the radiographs should be provided to the new practice. In the case of originals, these should be returned to the originating practice if requested.

### **3. Type and frequency of radiographic examination in dental practice**

#### **3.1 CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF THE NEED FOR RADIOGRAPHY**

The nature and extent of an actual or a suspected dental condition, its early detection, treatment and response to treatment must be the primary determining factors in submitting the patient to radiographic examination. The decision to perform a radiographic examination rests upon a professional judgement of the benefits which accrue to the total health of the patient, as opposed to any biological effects which might be caused by the radiation. Particular emphasis should be placed on minimising the radiation exposure of children (see section 6.2). In view of the above principles, the concept of the routine use of X-rays as part of the periodic examination of all patients or group(s) of patients is inappropriate. Radiology is a most valuable aid to oral diagnosis, employed in accordance with the dental and general health needs of the individual patient. No preferred frequency of radiological examinations can be expressed, as it is dependent upon the needs of each particular patient. In addition, the need for radiographic examinations may be reduced by the use of alternative methods such as transillumination as a replacement for bitewing radiographs and the use of electronic apex location in endodontic procedures.

#### **3.2 MEDICO-LEGAL EXAMINATIONS**

If it is anticipated that a medico-legal situation might arise, intra-oral views should be taken using double-film packets. Radiographs can very easily be copied. Most radiological practices and larger hospitals offer this facility.

When consideration is being given to litigation, repeat radiographic examinations for medico-legal purposes should not be undertaken if clinical indications no longer exist unless a (specialist) consultant considers such a procedure essential for the adequate assessment of long-term disability.

#### **3.3 RESEARCH PROJECTS INVOLVING THE IRRADIATION OF HUMANS**

Research that exposes humans to ionizing radiation should conform to the NHMRC's *National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Research Involving Humans* (1999). Volunteers should, where practicable, be over 40 years of age, and preferably be over 50. Persons under the age of 18 should normally not be permitted to be exposed to radiation for dental research.

Exposures should be permitted only when the volunteers understand the risks involved and participate willingly. Researchers have the responsibility to provide dose and risk information to volunteers and to enquire about previous exposure of the volunteer.

There is additional information on requirements for research involving ionizing radiation in Radiation Protection Series Publication No. 8 (ARPANSA 2005).

## **4. Equipment and site requirements**

### **4.1 COMPLIANCE WITH AUSTRALIAN STANDARDS AND REGULATORY AUTHORITY REQUIREMENTS**

The regulatory authority may apply other requirements, and they should be consulted before purchase of second-hand X-ray equipment to ensure that it meets these requirements.

Dental X-ray equipment should only be used for the types of examinations for which the equipment is designed. Failure to do so is likely to expose patients and staff to higher doses of radiation, and result in poor quality radiographs.

Some dental X-ray equipment for intra-oral radiography can be fitted with rectangular beam applicators with dimensions close to those of intra-oral films. These beam applicators, when used in conjunction with particular image receptor holders, result in a substantial dose reduction and are therefore strongly recommended.

### **4.2 LOCATION OF X-RAY UNITS AND PROVISION OF STRUCTURAL SHIELDING**

Careful consideration should be given to both the siting of X-ray units and to the provision of structural shielding. These considerations are particularly important when more than one dental X-ray unit is operated in close proximity to occupied areas, when an X-ray unit is used in a small dental surgery, or where cephalometric or panoramic X-ray equipment is used. Advice on structural shielding may be obtained from the regulatory authorities listed in Annex 1 of the Code of Practice.

## **5. Image receptors and film processing**

### **5.1 DIGITAL IMAGING SYSTEMS**

Several digital imaging systems are available for intra-oral and extra-oral radiography. These systems employ sensors to replace conventional films on silver-halide base and should require less exposure than E-speed film. Some digital systems for intra-oral radiography have radiation sensors significantly smaller than conventional films which may limit their use to the imaging of a relatively small area, thus diminishing the dose reduction advantage due to a larger number of exposures being required. The choice of imaging system should result in a net dose benefit to the patient. Exposure times are lower than for conventional films and practitioners using both digital and conventional image receptors should carefully check exposure settings before initiating the exposure. Digital images can be manipulated to compensate for over and underexposure. Overexposure needlessly increases the patient dose without any benefit. Underexposure produces grainy or snowy images and results in loss of diagnostic information. While such manipulation of the image can sometimes be useful in avoiding re-takes, it is preferable to make the correct exposure in the first place to minimise dose and avoid losing detail in the image. It is also important to ensure that the image receptor is compatible with the X-ray equipment.

### **5.2 FILM SIZES AND APPLICATIONS**

It is recommended that intra-oral films be used for applications appropriate to their size. Film sizes and applications are given in Annex 2 of the Code of Practice.

### **5.3 USE OF HIGH SPEED FILM**

For intra-oral radiography no films should be used with speeds lower than that of films of speed group E. E-speed films possess image qualities similar to those of D-speed films and have been demonstrated to provide sufficient information with a considerable reduction in dose. D-speed films should therefore be replaced with E-speed or F-speed films. It should be noted that exposure times will be reduced when changing from D-speed to higher speed films.

For extra-oral radiography such as panoramic and cephalometric radiography, the fastest available film and intensifying screen combination consistent with satisfactory diagnostic results should be used. The speed of the system should be at least ISO 400. The light sensitivity of the film should be correctly matched with the intensifying screens. The use of 'rare earth' intensifying screens is strongly recommended.

### **5.4 PROCESSING OF FILMS**

The processing of films is an area where dental practices often fail to pay appropriate attention. The correct processing of exposed films plays a major part in ensuring consistency of results and minimising radiation exposure. The correct processing is best obtained by using automatic processors with developing time and temperature control. The use of such automatic

processors is therefore highly recommended. It is crucial in manual processing to adhere to manufacturer's instructions on time and temperature of developing solutions. Even a slight increase in temperature can cause films to darken.

## **5.5 QUALITY ASSURANCE IN PROCESSING**

To ensure that radiographs of consistent diagnostic quality are obtained, a quality assurance program should be established so as to avoid repeat radiographs due to deterioration or failure of the processing system. High standards of processing will contribute to better quality radiographs for diagnostic purposes as well as the elimination of one cause of avoidable repeat examinations. Unsatisfactory processing of an exposed film will result in a radiograph of less than optimal quality. In particular, a radiograph which has been over-exposed and under-developed will not only be of less than optimal quality but will have been obtained with unnecessary and avoidable radiation exposure. If correct processing techniques are adhered to and the overall density of the radiograph is too high this indicates that the film has been overexposed. Consequently, the tube current and/or the exposure time should be reduced. Examples of quality assurance procedures suitable for dental radiography are described below.

### ***Quality control procedure for dental X-ray film processing***

#### ***PURPOSE***

In order to achieve optimum processing of dental films, there is a need to establish an inexpensive but suitable method of assessing the developing conditions, which can be readily used by dental care providers in their practices.

By exposing test films and developing one prior to processing normal dental X-ray films, the deterioration of the developer can be observed, and an indication of when the solutions need changing can be ascertained. Sufficient films should be exposed at a given time, so that one film can be developed each day that normal dental films are to be processed, to ensure that the processing chemicals are not exhausted.

If optimum processing of dental X-ray films is achieved, the resultant radiographs will be of sufficient quality that optimum exposure factors can be used and the number of repeat exposures reduced. This procedure will ensure that the radiation doses to patients are kept to a minimum. It is emphasised that there should be, at all times, strict adherence to the film manufacturer's processing specifications.

#### ***METHOD FOR OPTION 1: CREATE A REFERENCE RADIOGRAPH***

A reference radiograph can easily be created within a dental practice. It could consist of an intra-oral image that reflects the desired quality, or could be of a stepwedge that records the range of densities from white to black. It is important to produce the reference radiograph under optimum conditions, i.e. using:

- fresh, unexpired film that was properly stored;

- correct exposure under the proper conditions;
- freshly mixed chemicals; and chemical temperatures at the recommended settings.

An intra-oral or stepwedge radiograph processed under these conditions will provide a quality image that can be used as a reference radiograph to compare with radiographs processed each day. The radiograph should only be viewed when surrounded by an opaque frame to prevent incidental light from diminishing the overall intensity of the black, grey and white tones.

Each day, as radiographs are processed, a selection of radiographs should be compared to the range of densities that appear on the reference radiograph. Minor or major changes in densities indicate problems with exposure, processing or solutions, and corrective action is required. Film manufacturers provide information that will be helpful in isolating the problem.

#### ***METHOD FOR OPTION 2: CREATE MONITOR STRIPS***

Set up the X-ray unit so that later exposures may be easily reproduced and insure that the processor is operated with fresh developer and optimum development cycle. Care should also be taken not to exceed the heat loading of the x-ray tube at any stage.

##### ***(a) To ensure that test film is uniformly exposed:***

Place a dental film on a flat surface within the X-ray field and use a focus-film distance of at least 300 mm.

Using appropriate exposure factors expose and then develop the film. The developed film should have an optical density that can be visually assessed. If the field is not uniform or if the field size is not large enough to cover the whole film, increase the X-ray tube-to-film distance and place the film in the most uniform region of the field. Repeat this procedure until a satisfactory result is obtained.

##### ***(b) Exposure of monitor strips***

Monitor Strips, which have a graduated set of density bands can be produced by exposing a commercially available stepwedge in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications. A stepwedge (see Figure 1) is relatively inexpensive and its use will greatly facilitate the QA procedure.




Figure 1: Stepwedge

**(c) Number of monitor strips to expose**

For the first round of QA, until the rate of deterioration of the developer is established, expose enough monitor strips so that there are sufficient available to use one per day to cover a period in excess of the expected developer working life.

Once exposed the monitor strips must be stored in a suitable place away from X-rays and chemical contamination (preferably in a refrigerator). It is recommended that the strips, stored in a container, be clearly marked as having been exposed to X-rays.

**(d) Use of Monitor Strips**

Process one monitor strip in the recently cleaned processor, with fresh chemicals and under standard conditions. This film becomes the reference monitor strip. The following day, after replenishing the solutions and allowing them to reach their proper operating temperature, take out one monitoring strip and allow it to reach room temperature. Then process the pre-exposed monitoring strip. Compare the processed film with the reference film processed the day before by placing the films on a light box.

There may be some variation in density but when there is a one step or greater difference in density the developer is nearing exhaustion and should be replaced and a test film processes. If this film is not satisfactory, the reasons for this, such as temperature change, need to be resolved.

For practices with low X-ray usage, once the working life of the developer has been established - usually 2-4 weeks - the frequency of processing of the test films may be reduced to one every two to three days.

## **5.6 VIEWING OF RADIOGRAPHS**

The provision of dedicated viewing facilities is essential to realise the full diagnostic information from radiographs. A specially designed lightbox should be used and installed away from strong sources of ambient light. Significant improvements can also be achieved by mounting radiographs on a mask which eliminates stray light around the radiograph. The facility should include provision for magnification. The incorporation of a high intensity light source for viewing areas of high density on the radiograph may prove useful for extra-oral radiographs and in particular for panoramic radiographs.

## 6. Procedures to minimise exposure to ionizing radiation

### 6.1 GENERAL PRINCIPLES

All diagnostic exposures to ionizing radiation should be subject to the following principles of justification and optimisation in a diagnostic context:

For doses received by a patient undergoing medical and/or dental diagnosis, there are two levels of justification. First, the practice involving exposure to radiation should be justified in principle and the expected clinical benefit demonstrated to be sufficient to offset the radiation detriment. Second, each procedure should be subject to a further, case-by-case justification by the clinician who is responsible for the management of the patient and who determines that the exposure is necessary for diagnostic purposes.

Protection should be optimised during radiographic exposures. In the case of diagnostic radiology, there is often scope for dose reduction, through careful choice of exposure and image processing conditions, without loss of diagnostic information. Dose limits are not appropriate because of the individual medical and/or dental requirements of each case. Where guidance dose levels have been recommended by the regulatory authority, they should be followed.

Selection of the most appropriate form of examination is also an important aspect of patient dose reduction.

*Repetition of radiological examination due to technical errors.* The repetition of a radiograph will result in unnecessary exposure to both the patient and the operator. Repeat exposures may be necessary due to the poor quality of the radiograph or if the radiograph does not provide the clinical information required. The latter cause can be avoided by the careful planning of the examination to fit the clinical problem. Repeat exposures should not be prescribed simply because a radiograph may not be of the best diagnostic quality if the radiograph contains the required information. Care is also necessary to ensure the correct positioning of the patient, image receptor and X-ray tube head. Repeat exposures due to technical errors can be minimised by the correct selection of exposure factors consistent with the region being radiographed, the speed of the image receptor and processing procedures. It is recommended that exposure tables based on the manufacturer's instructions for the particular image receptor are available to assist in maintaining proper exposure.

### 6.2 MINIMISING DOSES TO THE PATIENT

*The use of image receptor holders for intra-oral radiography.* The use of image receptor holders that include aligning devices is strongly recommended as these aligning devices assist in correct alignment of the X-ray tube. This facilitates standardising the technique thus reducing the necessity for retakes. Some types of image receptor holders with aligning devices contain an additional collimator; others enable the use of a rectangular beam applicator. In these cases, a substantial radiation dose

reduction and consistent high quality radiographs are achievable, however alignment is more difficult and the potential for retakes is higher.

*Positioning of the patient for intra-oral radiography.* Unless aligning devices are used, the positioning of the patient should be standardised so that consistent radiographic results can be obtained, reducing the necessity for retakes.

*Field sizes in cephalometric radiography.* For certain diagnostic procedures it is necessary to portray the entire skull. Therefore the Australian Standard AS/NZS 3200.2.201 allows a maximum field size at the image receptor area of  $240 \times 300$  mm. For normal orthodontic use, however, an image of a significantly smaller part of the skull is required. Reduction of the field size to  $180 \times 240$  mm is, for normal orthodontic use, therefore strongly recommended since this will result in a significant dose reduction and an improvement of the image quality of the resulting cephalogram. If the cephalometric X-ray unit is not factory equipped with a collimator resulting in a field size of  $180 \times 240$  mm, it is usually possible to have such an additional collimator retro-fitted.

*Protective drapes.* Protective drapes do not protect against radiation scattered internally within the body and only provide significant protection in cases where the X-ray beam is directed towards structures outside the dento-maxillofacial area. Even in the latter case the use of a protective drape for gonadal protection could only be regarded as prudent for a small child or for a female patient who is, or may be, pregnant. Although it has been argued in the past that routine use of protective drapes for dental radiography could be justified to allay perceived patient anxiety, their routine use is unnecessary in view of the very low effective doses involved in properly conducted dental radiography. Protection of the thyroid may be relevant for some examinations (see section on children below).

*Pregnancy.* Radiation Protection Series Publication No. 1 (ARPANSA 2002) recommends that precautions be adopted in radiological procedures involving exposure to the lower abdomen and pelvic regions of women of reproductive capacity to ensure that the radiation dose received is as low as possible, and particular care should be taken to avoid the irradiation of the fetus whenever practicable. When radiography of an area remote from the fetus is needed, such as in dental radiography, this can be undertaken with negligible dose to the fetus at any time during pregnancy. Provision of a leaded drape is recommended when the X-ray beam is directed downwards towards the patient's trunk, for instance when taking occlusal views of the maxilla. There is no need on radiation protection grounds to defer dental radiography during pregnancy.

*Children.* The various factors influencing the dose to adults also apply to children, but the shorter distances between the area irradiated and many of the organs in children will result in higher doses to those organs. This is particularly true for the gonads and the thyroid. In general, it must be remembered that some tissues in growing children are more sensitive to radiation than those of mature persons. Therefore, the need for radiography should be carefully assessed and appropriate protective measures such as leaded drapes and thyroid collars should be considered, particularly during occlusal views of the maxilla where the X-ray beam is directed vertically

downwards towards the patient's trunk. However, in the case of panoramic and cephalometric radiography the use of a thyroid collar is discouraged since it may physically interfere with the procedure and can often be detrimental to obtaining an adequate image.

*Film type.* Use of film of speed E or faster will reduce doses to the patient during dental radiography. Slower film types require more radiation to produce an image and are therefore not permitted by the Code of Practice.

*Typical doses.* Typical doses from various types of dental radiographic examinations and comparison with other diagnostic radiology procedures can be found in Annex B.

### **6.3 MINIMISING DOSES TO THE OPERATOR AND OTHER STAFF**

*Occupational Exposure.* The system of radiation protection recommended in Australia is described in the ARPANSA *Recommendations for limiting exposure to ionizing radiation* and *National standard for limiting occupational exposure to ionizing radiation* (republished 2002). It states that radiation protection for occupational exposure requires justification, optimisation and limitation to be applied to the practice which causes the exposure. Compliance with the occupational limit on effective dose (20 mSv per year, averaged over a period of 5 consecutive calendar years) will ensure that deterministic effects do not occur in most body tissues and organs. It is recommended that dose constraints be used for appropriate work categories in the design of the working environment. That is, for occupations in which the nature of the work requires only minor exposures to radiation, doses should be restricted by design to be less than some value which is lower than the dose limit and which is determined through experience. While dose limits mark the lower bound of unacceptability, dose constraints promote a level of dose control which should be achievable in a well-managed practice.

*Holding of image receptor and standardisation of technique by using image receptor holders.* Wherever possible the image receptor should be fixed in position by using an image receptor holder; otherwise it should be held by the patient or, exceptionally, if the patient is incapacitated, by an individual not occupationally involved with radiation. Image receptor holders eliminate the need for the patient to hold the image receptor and help in positioning and stabilising the image receptor, and also in standardisation of positioning.

*Holding of patients.* It may be necessary in some cases that uncooperative patients (eg. a child or incapacitated patient) be restrained during exposure or that the image receptor be held in place. If there is a need to restrain a patient, restraining devices should be used as a first preference, but if this is not possible, the patient should be restrained by someone not occupationally exposed to radiation, such as a carer or member of the patient's family.

*Protection of operator during exposure.* The radiation dose to the operator can be minimised by the use of both distance and position relative to the X-ray tube and the patient and/or by structural shielding. If there is no structural shield and the operator has to remain in the room, the operator should stand at least 2 metres away from the X-ray tube, and outside the primary beam. The area of minimal scatter radiation is reported to be at

45 degrees from the primary beam as it exits the patient. A protective apron providing attenuation equivalent to at least 0.25 mm Pb, as specified in the requirement for a light apron in the Australian Standard AS/NZS 4543.3:2000 *Protective devices against diagnostic medical radiation Part 3: Protective clothing and protective devices for gonads* may also be used for operator protection.

*Personal or area monitoring.* Personal monitoring is useful for checking the adequacy of radiation protection. It can be used to document the occupational doses of the wearers, especially when the wearer is accidentally exposed, and to disclose inadequate or improper radiation protection practices. It is advisable for persons performing dental radiographic examinations or any member of the staff who is likely to be exposed to radiation to wear a personal monitor particularly when new equipment is installed. It may be obligatory in some jurisdictions to wear monitors until it can be shown that the occupational dose of the wearers is either zero or negligible. If personal monitoring is required, monitors should be worn on the body at chest or waist height during the period of occupational exposure. When lead protective aprons are worn, monitors should be worn under the aprons. In some cases, area monitoring using appropriate techniques may obviate the need for wearing personal monitors on a routine basis. Advice on radiation protection, including the need for area monitoring and personnel monitoring, is available from the authorities listed in Annex 1 of the Code of Practice. The limits for occupational exposure to ionizing radiation are given in the ARPANSA Radiation Protection Series publication '*Recommendations for limiting exposure to ionizing radiation (2002)*'. This document supports the principle that radiation exposure be kept as low as reasonably achievable, economic and social factors being taken into account (ALARA) and should be taken as a guiding principle. Personal dose monitors from dental practices typically record an effective dose of less than 0.04 mSv per year so exposure to dental staff is usually well within the prescribed limits. Hence, the recording of effective doses higher than about 0.1 mSv per year by dental care providers or staff, while well below permitted dose limits, may indicate that a radiation protection practices should be reviewed.

*Pregnant operators and other staff.* If a member of the dental staff is pregnant then the fetus should be afforded the same level of protection as a member of the public which is set at the rate of 1 mSv per year. This may be achieved by controlling the exposure of the employee such that the dose received by the fetus is less than this public effective dose limit for the remainder of the pregnancy. The low effective doses typically recorded by dental staff are such that it should not normally be necessary to modify work practices during pregnancy. It is prudent to provide a pregnant staff member with a personal dose monitor.

## **Annex A**

### **Health Effects of Ionizing Radiation and Standards for Control of Exposure**

It is well known that high doses of ionizing radiation can cause harm, but there is continuing scientific uncertainty about effects at low doses. At levels of dose routinely encountered by members of the public and most present-day radiation workers, there is little or no epidemiological evidence of health effects. Radiation protection standards recognise that it is not possible to eliminate all radiation exposure, but they do provide for a system of control to avoid unnecessary exposure and to keep doses in the low dose range.

Extreme doses of radiation to the whole body (around 10 sievert and above), received in a short period, cause so much damage to the body that vital systems cease to function and death may result within days or weeks. Very high doses (between about 1 sievert and 10 sievert), received in a short period, kill large numbers of cells, which can impair the function of vital organs and systems. Acute health effects, such as nausea, vomiting, skin and deep tissue burns, and impairment of the body's ability to fight infection may result within hours, days or weeks. The extent of the damage increases with dose. However, 'deterministic' effects such as these are not observed at doses below certain thresholds. By limiting doses to levels below the thresholds, tissue reactions can be prevented entirely.

Doses below the thresholds for tissue reactions may cause cellular damage, but this does not necessarily lead to harm to the individual: the effects are probabilistic or 'stochastic' in nature. It is known that doses above about 100 millisievert, received in a short period, lead to an increased risk of developing cancer later in life. There is good epidemiological evidence – especially from studies of the survivors of the atomic bombings – that, for several types of cancer, the risk increases roughly linearly with dose, and that the risk factor averaged over all ages and cancer types is about 1 in 100 for every 100 millisievert of dose (i.e. 1 in 10,000 per millisievert).

At doses below about 100 millisievert, the evidence of harm is not clear-cut. While some studies indicate evidence of radiation-induced effects, epidemiological research has been unable to establish that there are effects of statistical significance at doses below a few tens of millisieverts. Nevertheless, given that no threshold for stochastic effects has been demonstrated, and in order to be cautious in establishing health standards, the proportionality between risk and dose observed at higher doses is presumed to continue through all lower levels of dose to zero. This is called the linear, no-threshold (LNT) hypothesis and it is made for radiation protection purposes only.

There is evidence that a dose accumulated over a long period carries less risk than the same dose received over a short period. Except for accidents and medical exposures, doses are not normally received over short periods, so that it is appropriate in determining standards for the control of exposure to use a risk factor that takes this into account. While not well quantified, a reduction of the high-dose risk factor by a factor of two has been adopted internationally, so that for radiation protection purposes the risk of radiation-induced fatal cancer (the risk factor) is taken to be about 1 in 20,000 per millisievert of dose for the population as a whole.

If the LNT hypothesis is correct, any dose carries some risk. Therefore, measures for control of exposure for stochastic effects seek to avoid all reasonably avoidable risk. This is called optimising protection. However, risk in this sense may often be assessed in terms of risk to a population, and may not ensure sufficient protection of the individual. Consequently, the optimisation approach is underpinned by

applying dose limits that restrict the risk to individuals to an acceptable level. The fundamental regulatory philosophy is expressed in three principles, based on the recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP), which may be summarised as follows:

*Justification:* human activities that cause exposure to radiation may be permitted only if they do more good than harm;

*Optimisation of protection:* exposure to radiation from justified activities should be kept as low as reasonably achievable, social and economic factors being taken into account; and

*Limitation of individual dose:* doses must not exceed the prescribed dose limits.

Determining what is an acceptable risk for regulatory purposes is a complex value judgement. The ICRP reviewed a number of factors in developing its recommendations, which have in general been internationally endorsed, including by the World Health Organization, the International Labour Organization and the International Atomic Energy Agency. Australia's Radiation Health Committee, now established under the ARPANS Act<sup>†</sup>, has recommended that the international standards be adopted in Australia. The recommended dose limits are summarised as follows:

**Limit on effective dose\***

	For occupational exposure	For members of the public
To limit individual risk	20 mSv per year, averaged over 5 years*	1 mSv in a year*

\*for details, see ARPANSA's *Recommendations for limiting exposure to ionizing radiation (2002)*

In most situations, the requirements for limiting individual risk ensure that doses are below deterministic thresholds, but for cases where this does not apply, the recommended limits are as follows:

**Annual limit on equivalent dose\***

	For occupational exposure	For members of the public
To prevent tissue reactions		
in the lens of the eye	150 mSv	15 mSv
in the skin	500 mSv	50 mSv
in the hands and feet	500 mSv	—

\*for details, see ARPANSA's *Recommendations for limiting exposure to ionizing radiation (2002)*

In the case of occupational exposure during pregnancy, the general principle is that the embryo or fetus should be afforded the same level of protection as is required for a member of the public. For medical workers, the ICRP recommends that there

<sup>†</sup> The *Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Act (1998)*.

should be a reasonable assurance that fetal dose can be kept below 1 mGy‡ during the course of the pregnancy. This guidance may be generalised to cover all occupationally exposed pregnant workers by keeping the fetal dose below 1 mSv. A full explanation of radiation protection principles and of the recommended standards for Australia is given in ARPANSA/NOHSC Radiation Protection Series No. 1: *Recommendations for limiting exposure to ionizing radiation (1995)* and *National standard for limiting occupational exposure to ionizing radiation* (both republished in 2002).

---

‡ The gray (Gy) is a unit of radiation dose. For X-rays and gamma radiation, it is numerically equivalent to the sievert.

## Annex B

### Doses and Risks from Dental Radiographic Examinations

**Table 1 Comparison of doses from dental radiographic examinations with doses from common diagnostic radiology procedures and air travel**

<b>A. Typical doses from dental radiographic examinations</b>		
Examination	Effective Dose (mSv)	Equivalent period of natural background radiation <sup>1</sup>
2 × bitewings, 70kV, 200 mm FSD <sup>2</sup> , rectangular collimation, E speed film	0.002 <sup>3</sup>	8.8 hours
2 × bitewings, 70kV, 200 mm FSD <sup>2</sup> , round collimation, E speed film	0.004 <sup>3</sup>	17.5 hours
2 × bitewings, 50-60kV, 100 mm FSD <sup>2</sup> , round collimation, E speed film	0.008 <sup>3,7</sup>	1.5 days
2 × bitewings, 50-60kV, 100 mm FSD <sup>2</sup> , round collimation, D speed film	0.016 <sup>3,5</sup>	3 days
Dental panoramic, rare-earth intensifying screens	0.007 <sup>4</sup>	1.3 days
Dental panoramic, calcium-tungstate intensifying screens	0.014 <sup>4</sup>	2.6 days
<b>B. Typical doses from common diagnostic radiology procedures</b>		
Examination	Effective Dose (mSv)	Equivalent period of natural background radiation <sup>1</sup>
Skull radiograph	0.1 <sup>8</sup>	2.6 weeks
PA Chest radiograph	0.02 <sup>9</sup>	3.4 days
Computed tomography: head	2 <sup>8</sup>	1 year
Computed tomography: chest	8 <sup>8</sup>	4 years
Barium meal	5 <sup>8</sup>	2.5 years
<b>C. Typical doses from air travel<sup>6</sup></b>		
Flight Route	Effective Dose (mSv)	Equivalent period of natural background radiation <sup>1</sup>
Melbourne-Singapore-London (21 hrs 30 min) <sup>11</sup>	0.065	12 days
London-Singapore-Melbourne (19 hrs 40 min) <sup>11</sup>	0.042	8 days
Melbourne-Johannesburg (15 hrs) <sup>11</sup>	0.071	13 days
Melbourne to Perth (4hrs) <sup>10</sup>	0.00910	1.5 days

1. Natural background radiation is approximately 2 mSv per year in Australia.
2. X-ray tube focus to skin distance.
3. National Radiological Protection Board, *Guidelines on Radiology Standards for Primary Dental Care*, Vol 5 No.3 1994. Derived from an effective dose of 0.084 mSv for a full mouth survey involving 20 films exposed at 70 kV with round collimation and using D speed film. (White, SC. Assessment of radiation risk from dental radiography. Dentomaxillofac. Radiol., 21, 118-26 (1992)).
4. National Radiological Protection Board, *Guidelines on Radiology Standards for Primary Dental Care*, Vol 5 No.3 1994.
5. National Radiological Protection Board, *Guidelines on Radiology Standards for Primary Dental Care*, Vol 5 No.3 1994. The factor of two difference between 70kV sets and 50-60 kV sets is derived from data published by Velders. (Velders, XL. Patient exposure to bitewing radiography. Leiden, University of Amsterdam (1989)).
6. The radiation dose during air travel is due to increased exposure to cosmic radiation.
7. United Nations Environment Programme, *Radiation Doses, Effects, Risks* (1985)
8. Perkins, AC, *Nuclear Medicine Science and Safety* 1995.
9. Cardillo I, Boal TJ, Einsiedel PF, *Patient Doses from Chest Radiography in Victoria*, Australasian Physical and Engineering Sciences in Medicine, Vol. 4, no. 2, June 1997
10. Wilson OJ, Young BF & Richardson CK, *Cosmic Radiation Doses Received by Australian Commercial Flight Crews and the Implications of ICRP 60*, Health Physics, vol 66, no 5, May 1994.
11. Radiation Health Committee, Statement on Occupational Exposure to Cosmic Radiation from Airflight, [www.arpansa.gov.au/rhc\\_stat.htm](http://www.arpansa.gov.au/rhc_stat.htm), December 2004.

## **Annex C**

### **ARPANSA Radiation Protection Series Publications**

ARPANSA has taken over responsibility for the administration of the former NHMRC Radiation Health Series of publications and for the codes developed under the *Environment Protection (Nuclear Codes) Act 1978*. The publications are being progressively reviewed and republished as part of the *Radiation Protection Series*.

All publications listed below are available in electronic format, and can be downloaded free of charge by visiting ARPANSA's website at [www.arpansa.gov.au/codes.htm](http://www.arpansa.gov.au/codes.htm).

Radiation Protection Series publications are available for purchase directly from ARPANSA. Further information can be obtained by telephoning ARPANSA on 1800 022 333 (freecall within Australia) or (03) 9433 2211.

- RPS 1. Recommendations for Limiting Exposure to Ionizing Radiation (1995) and National Standard for Limiting Occupational Exposure to Ionizing Radiation (republished 2002)
- RPS 2. Code of Practice for the Safe Transport of Radioactive Material (2001)
- RPS 3. Radiation Protection Standard for Maximum Exposure Levels to Radiofrequency Fields – 3 kHz to 300 GHz (2002)
- RPS 4. Recommendations for the Discharge of Patients Undergoing Treatment with Radioactive Substances (2002)
- RPS 5. Code of Practice and Safety Guide for Portable Density/Moisture Gauges Containing Radioactive Sources (2004)
- RPS 6. National Directory for Radiation Protection – Edition 1.0 (2004)
- RPS 7. Recommendations for Intervention in Emergency situations Involving Radiation Exposure (2004)
- RPS 8. Code of Practice for the Exposure of Humans to Ionizing Radiation for Medical Research Purposes (2005)
- RPS 9. Code of Practice and Safety Guide for Radiation Protection and Radioactive Waste Management in Mining and Mineral Processing (2005)
- RPS 10. Code of Practice and Safety Guide for Radiation Protection in Dentistry (2005)

The Nuclear Codes Series have now all been republished. Those publications from the NHMRC Radiation Health Series that are still current are:

#### **RADIATION HEALTH SERIES**

- RHS 2. Code of practice for the design of laboratories using radioactive substances for medical purposes (1980)
- RHS 3. Code of practice for the safe use of ionizing radiation in veterinary radiology: Parts 1 and 2 (1982)
- RHS 4. Code of practice for the safe use of radiation gauges (1982)

- RHS 8. Code of nursing practice for staff exposed to ionizing radiation (1984)
- RHS 9. Code of practice for protection against ionizing radiation emitted from X-ray analysis equipment (1984)
- RHS 10. Code of practice for safe use of ionizing radiation in veterinary radiology: part 3-radiotherapy (1984)
- RHS 13. Code of practice for the disposal of radioactive wastes by the user (1985)
- RHS 14. Recommendations for minimising radiological hazards to patients (1985)
- RHS 15. Code of practice for the safe use of microwave diathermy units (1985)
- RHS 16. Code of practice for the safe use of short wave (radiofrequency) diathermy units (1985)
- RHS 18. Code of practice for the safe handling of corpses containing radioactive materials (1986)
- RHS 19. Code of practice for the safe use of ionizing radiation in secondary schools (1986)
- RHS 21. Revised statement on cabinet X-ray equipment for examination of letters, packages, baggage, freight and other articles for security, quality control and other purposes (1987)
- RHS 22. Statement on enclosed X-ray equipment for special applications (1987)
- RHS 23. Code of practice for the control and safe handling of radioactive sources used for therapeutic purposes (1988)
- RHS 24. Code of practice for the design and safe operation of non-medical irradiation facilities (1988)
- RHS 25. Recommendations for ionization chamber smoke detectors for commercial and industrial fire protection systems (1988)
- RHS 28. Code of practice for the safe use of sealed radioactive sources in bore-hole logging (1989)
- RHS 29. Occupational standard for exposure to ultraviolet radiation (1989)
- RHS 30. Interim guidelines on limits of exposure to 50/60Hz electric and magnetic fields (1989)
- RHS 31. Code of practice for the safe use of industrial radiography equipment (1989)
- RHS 34. Safety guidelines for magnetic resonance diagnostic facilities (1991)
- RHS 35. Code of practice for the near-surface disposal of radioactive waste in Australia (1992)
- RHS 36. Code of practice for the safe use of lasers in schools (1995)
- RHS 37. Code of practice for the safe use of lasers in the entertainment industry (1995)
- RHS 38. Recommended limits on radioactive contamination on surfaces in laboratories (1995)

# **Contributors to Drafting and Review**

## **WORKING GROUP**

- Mr Alan Melbourne (VIC), Standards Development & Committee Support Section, ARPANSA (Convenor)
- Dr Johannes J. Keur (VIC), Australian Dental Association
- Dr Georges M. Fast (VIC), Australian Dental Association
- Ms Ingrid Cardillo (VIC), Radiation Safety Program, Dept of Human Services
- Dr Julian Thomson (VIC), Medical Radiation Branch, ARPANSA
- Mr Paul Marks (VIC), Radiation Safety Program, Dept of Human Services also deputised for Ms Cardillo during development of the Code and Safety Guide.

# Index

## A

accident..... 7, 53, 54  
adult..... 8, 30, 51  
ALARA principle ..... 7, 53, 55  
Australian Standards... 10, 11, 13, 19, 24, 30, 31,  
45, 47, 51, 53, 54, 55, 56, 58

## B

beam applicator..... 21, 26, 45, 50  
bitewing radiographs..... 6, 30, 41, 44, 58

## C

cephalometric ..... See radiography  
children..... 8, 18, 30, 44, 51  
clinical assessment ..... 5, 10, 12, 41  
clinician ..... 5, 9, 41, 43, 50  
*Code of Practice for Exposure of Humans to  
Ionizing Radiation for Medical Research  
Purposes (RPS 8)*..... 12, 24, 44, 59  
collimator ..... 21, 22, 26, 28, 50, 51  
commissioning ..... 9  
compliance..... 11, 12, 13, 20, 21, 45, 52  
compliance testing..... 11, 20  
contrast..... 26, 32

## D

darkroom..... 32, 34, 35  
dead-man switch ..... 26  
dental  
  *assistants*..... 5, 41, 43  
  *hygienist* ..... 5, 41, 43  
  *therapist* ..... 5, 41, 43  
dentist..... 5, 9, 10, 31, 41, 43  
developer ..... 15, 31, 32, 33, 34, 47, 48, 49  
digital imaging systems..... 14, 46  
dose..... 7, 8, 9, 15, 16, 18, 20, 26, 45, 47, 50, 51,  
52, 53, 54, 55, 57  
  *absorbed*..... 26, 27  
  *committed*..... 18  
  *constraints*..... 9, 12, 26, 52  
  *effective*.... 9, 18, 26, 27, 28, 51, 52, 53, 57, 58  
  *equivalent* ..... 18, 26, 27, 28  
  *exemption* ..... 8  
  *limits*..... 7, 9, 13, 16, 18, 21, 50, 52, 53, 55  
  *rate*..... 26  
  *records*..... 7, 8, 53

## E

electronic apex location..... 44  
employee..... 16, 18, 26, 53  
endodontic procedures..... 32, 44  
equipment requirements... 10, 13, 19, 21, 32, 45

exposure..5, 10, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 24, 26,  
27, 28, 30, 31, 35, 41, 43, 44, 46, 47, 48, 50,  
51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56

exposure charts..... 16  
exposure factors..... 19, 27  
exposure switch ..... 19

## F

fetus..... 18, 51, 53, 55  
film  
  *dental* ..... 30, 31, 47  
  *processing*..... 8, 9, 14, 15, 24, 30, 43, 46, 47  
  *size*..... 14, 30, 46  
  *speed*..... 14, 20, 28, 31, 46, 52, 57, 58  
filtration ..... 21, 22, 27, 31  
fixer ..... 15, 32, 33, 34  
focus-skin distance ..... 21, 22, 26, 27, 58

## H

half-value layer ..... 21, 22, 23, 27

## I

image receptor..... 10, 13, 14, 16, 19, 20, 21, 22,  
28, 45, 46, 50, 51, 52  
incident ..... 7  
installation ..... 5, 8, 10, 20, 49, 53  
intensifying screen..... 14, 46, 57  
International Commission on Radiological  
  Protection (ICRP) ..... 24, 55, 58  
International Labour Organization..... 55  
irradiating apparatus..... 7, 20, 28

## J

justification ..... 12, 50, 51, 52, 55

## K

kerma ..... 20, 21, 27

## L

leakage radiation ..... 20, 21, 28  
licensing ..... 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13  
limitation ..... 52, 55

## M

maintenance ..... 7, 8, 9, 28, 31, 43  
medical history ..... 10, 12  
medico-legal ..... 44  
millisievert (mSv) ..18, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58  
monitor ..... 7, 17, 53  
monitor strip ..... 48, 49

## N

National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) ..... 5, 12, 25, 44, 59  
*National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Research Involving Humans* (1999).... 12, 44  
natural background radiation ..... 57, 58

## O

occupational exposure..8, 17, 18, 24, 26, 52, 53, 55, 58, 60  
operator ..... 8, 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 43, 50, 52, 53  
operator position ..... 17, 19  
operator protection ..... 52  
optical density ..... 28, 48  
optimisation ..... 26, 50, 52, 54, 55  
oral diagnosis ..... 12, 44

## P

panoramic..... *See radiography*  
panoramic tomography ..... 22  
patient..... 5, 8, 10, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 27, 28, 30, 31, 41, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 50, 51, 52, 58  
personal monitoring..... 7, 8, 9, 17, 53  
pregnancy ..... 17, 18, 51, 53, 55  
primary beam ..... 16, 17, 52  
protective  
    *apron* ..... 16, 17, 53  
    *drapes* ..... 51  
    *measures*..... 5, 41, 51  
    *screen*..... 17  
public exposure .....16, 18, 26, 43, 54

## Q

quality assurance ..... 15, 47, 48, 49  
quality control ..... 7, 24, 47, 60

## R

Radiation Health Committee (RHC) ... i, 18, 55, 58  
radiation safety assessment ..... 8, 9  
radiation safety measures ..... 13  
Radiation Safety Officer ..... 7, 8  
radiographers ..... 5, 41

## radiography

*cephalometric* ..... 6, 13, 22, 41, 46, 51, 52  
    *examination*.... 5, 6, 10, 12, 13, 17, 31, 41, 43, 44, 52, 53, 57  
    *hand and wrist* ..... 6, 13, 41  
    *intra-oral*..... 6, 13, 14, 41, 45, 46, 50, 51  
    *panoramic*.....6, 13, 21, 28, 41, 45, 46, 49, 52  
radiological examinations ..... 9, 10, 43, 44  
radiology ..... 5, 12, 24, 25, 44, 50, 52, 57, 58  
*Recommendations for Limiting Exposure to Ionizing Radiation (1995) and National Standard for Limiting Occupational Exposure to Ionizing Radiation* (republished 2002) (RPS 1) ..... 18, 53, 55, 59  
records ..... 7, 8, 9, 43, 47, 53  
referrer ..... 10  
registration..... 6, 7  
regulations ..... 5, 8, 11, 33  
regulatory authority..... 5, 6, 13, 42, 45  
reporting ..... 7, 10, 14, 24, 52  
research..... 12, 18, 26, 44, 54  
Responsible Person .. 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17, 28, 41  
risk factor ..... 5, 8, 26, 44, 54, 55, 57, 58

## S

scattered radiation..... 28, 51, 52  
servicing ..... 5, 9, 10  
shielding..... 9, 13, 16, 17, 20, 28, 45, 52  
sievert (Sv) ..... 27, 28, 54, 56  
site requirements ..... 13, 45  
staff ..... 6, 7, 8, 10, 16, 17, 31, 43, 45, 52, 53  
standardisation ..... 16, 30, 50, 51, 52  
storage..... 14, 20, 32  
supplier ..... 10, 19, 31

## T

testing ..... 11, 16  
training..... 7, 10, 13, 14, 43  
transillumination..... 44

## U

useful beam..... 20, 21, 23, 26, 27, 28

## V

volunteers ..... 12, 18, 26, 44

## W

World Health Organization (WHO) ..... 55

## X

X-ray equipment ..5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 26, 27, 41, 43, 45, 46, 60  
X-ray tube current ..... 19, 28  
X-ray tube potential..... 27, 28